

No.	Date Raised	Raised By	Relevant Section	Action/Query	Suggested Solution/Action from Project Team	Confidential	TSOs' Response	Status
1	26/06/2024	Multiple parties	Ways of Working	TSOs to provide feedback to RAs regarding Code Working Group Attendance		N	The TSOs note there was some miscommunication with regard to the RA invite and the RAs have expressed their desire to attend future working groups	Closed
2	26/06/2024	Helen Stack	2.1 Background	TSOs to bring query to RAs on whether there is an intent to check the code against regulations such as EBGL		N	TSOs align with HLD/SEMC Decisions. Dedicated collaborative workstream (TSOs & RAs) to address compliance with Network Codes (e.g. EB GL, SO GL, ERNC) is in place. The aim is to identify gaps between SEMC Decisions/HLD and Network Codes and then to implement changes that address these gaps in Lincences or SS Code.	Closed
3	26/06/2024	Multiple parties	3.1 Objectives	TSOs to bring query to RAs on issue around market monitoring		N	Market Monitoring to be implemented but details will be determined at a later stage	Closed
4	26/06/2024	Multiple parties	3.1.2 Governing Law & Jurisdiction	Proceed on the basis of NI law in the first instance to get alignment, and if not revert to Irish law		N	PEV has been updated to reflect this	Closed
5	26/06/2024	Multiple parties	3.1.2 Governing Law & Jurisdiction	Review System Services Code hierarchy		N	Following discussion at Working Group and Legal review it was decided that Trading and Settlement Code should take precedence over SS Code but that this would be revisited at a later stage.	Closed
6	26/06/2024	Multiple parties	3.2 Roles and Obligations	TSOs to bring query to RAs on whether there should be an obligation on the TSOs in the licence or the code to monitor market arrangements to ensure it is working efficiently		N	Addition of Market Integrity to Objectives	Closed
7	26/06/2024	Multiple parties	3.3 Modifications Process	Consider whether a voting process will conflict with the TSOs decision making ability		N	Following discussion with RAs voting is not included in updated PEV Following further discussion on this at Working Group 2, the RAs and TSOs agreed to discuss this further. The RAs see non-binding voting as an appropriate approach. Section 3.3.4 of Code has been updated accordingly. The RAs also advised that this will be subject to SEM C consultation at a later stage.	Closed
8	26/06/2024	Multiple parties	3.3 Modifications Process	Confirm quorum, upper limit, and supplier representation for the Mods Committee		N	PEV has been updated to reflect this. Quorum, similar to T & SC, Upper limit of 20	Closed
9	26/06/2024	Helen Stack	3.3 Modifications Process	Consider cut-off on timing of submission of alternative modifications		N	PEV has been update in line with Trading and Settlement Code	Closed
10	26/06/2024	Multiple parties	3.4 Dispute Resolution Process	Determine how we identify the administrator as part of the dispute resolution process as part of legal drafting		N	This will be per processes for Grid Code (10.4.3)	Closed
11	26/06/2024	Jag Basi	3.4 Dispute Resolution Process	Further investigate streamlining the DRB membership process		N	RAs and TSOs are in favour of this approach	Closed
12	26/06/2024	Multiple parties	3.4 Dispute Resolution Process	Further investigate whether the DRB can go beyond its vires in relation to decision making		N	It is proposed that, where the Parties do not agree on the number of members on the SSDRB, it shall be comprised of 3 members	Closed
13	26/06/2024	Multiple parties	Section 3.4 Dispute Resolution Process	Further discussion needed in relation to Dispute Resolution Board fees/costs	Set up a meeting with the RAs to discuss next steps for actions. Meeting held on 14 November 2024.	N	The RAs and TSOs consider mirroring the T & SC as appropriate, ultimately this will be driven by responses to the SEM Committee consultation.	Closed
14	26/06/2024	Multiple parties	4 Participation, Accession & Registration	Investigate whether or not alluding to Registration in the T&SC affects the legal hierarchy proposed and whether waiving the registration fee is allowed		N	Trading and Settlement Code will take precedent over System Services Code and Registration fee will be maintained.	Closed
15	26/06/2024	Jag Basi	4 Participation, Accession & Registration	Investigate legalities of using DocuSign or a similar tool as part of the Accession process		N	There is currently no widely accepted electronic means for affixing a seal. As such, TSOs' position is that the Accession Deed will need to be executed via wet ink signature and have the company seal manually affixed.	Closed
16	26/06/2024	N/A	N/A	Circulate slides, minutes and actions		N	Issued to WG members via email on 03/07/24	Closed

17	02/10/2024	Brian Mongan	DASSA Mechanics	Clarify definitions of products, system services and services	TSOs agreed to consider this.	N	Updates will be included as part of Plain English Version 2.	Closed
18	02/10/2024	Peter Frampton	3.4 Dispute Resolution Process	Provide clarification regarding relationship for new units ththat may wish to escalate a dispute to DRB that have not acceded to the code	The TSOs reviewed Trading and Settlement Code relating to this.	N	Under the T&SC, there is no grounds for dispute before accession to the Code as there are no obligations or rights in place. The TSOs consider this should be also be approach for System Services Code. A qualification dispute would fall under General Dispute.	Closed
19	02/10/2024	Brian Mongan	3.3 Modifications Process	Reissue revised PEV with original redlining		N	Issued to WG members via email on 09/10/24	Closed
20	02/10/2024	Padraic Higgins	Required documentation	Provide clarification in relation to Mandatory Accession of SS Code	The TSOs agreed to discuss further with RAs. A meeting was held on 14th November.	N	The RAs will be seeking SEM Oversight Committee's views on this, an update will be provided once available. The RAs also stated that this would be included as part of SEM C consultation on SS Code.	Open
21	02/10/2024	N/A	N/A	Circulate slides, minutes and actions	No action required	N	Issued to WG members via email on 09/10/24	Closed
22	11/12/2024	Padraic Higgins	6.1 Products to be procured	The TSOs agreed to provide further clarification in the PEV of the Code with regards to the definition of bundles and the Premium Price associated with these.	Prepare examples internally for next WG session	N	Examples of Bundles and Pricing were presented as part of an Objective Function Workshop on 21st January 2025.	Closed
23	11/12/2024	Brian Mongan	6.2 Zones and Locational Requirements	The TSOs agreed to provide more clarity on determination and governance of zones.		N	The TSOs' determination of zones is based on TSO Operational Security Standards and Grid Codes. The TSOs could seek to adjust zones at a later stage should technical studies identify a need to do so.	Closed
24	11/12/2024	Padraic Higgins	6.5 DASSA Bidding Structure	The TSOs are to provide further detail on Sequential Filling Guarantee within an updated PEV of Code		N	Further examples provided at Objective Function Workshop. Detail included in Section 6.5 of the PEV.	Closed
25	11/12/2024	Brian Mongan	6.1 Products to be procured	The TSOs agreed to provide further clarification in relation to different products being offered at different volumes and the impact on frequency		N	This is not a concern once the total TSOs volume requirements for each reserve product is met through DASSA procurement	Closed
26	11/12/2024	Padraic Higgins	6.10 DASSA Outcomes	The TSOs are to define a matrix for the DASSA Outcomes.		N	This is included in Section 6.10 of the PEV.	Closed
27	11/12/2024	Padraic Higgins	NA	The TSOs are to investigate if a remaining trade volume per zone can be available to participants in Secondary Trading.		N	This will be investigated further as part of the process for batch matching, it will form part of Parameters and Scalars consultation.	Closed
28	11/12/2024	Cian Fitzgerald	7 Secondary Trading	The TSOs will provide clarity on its position in relation to collateral requirements for matched trades and will explore whether they can be managed on a central exchange.		N	Presented a Secondary Trading example during Working Group #4 meeting. Options in relation to collateral are being explored.	Open
29	21/01/2025	Brian Mongan	4.3 Party Registration	TSOs to check whether the reference to Section B.7.4.6 of T&SC is correct.	Updated PEV	N	The correct T&SC reference is Section B.7.6.4. This has been updated in the Working Group #4 slides but was correct in the PEV First Draft.	Closed
30	21/01/2025	Padraic Higgins	6.2 Zones and Locational Requirements	TSOs to include caveat in PEV that the determination of zones is subject to future locational methodology consultations.	Updated PEV	N	It was noted by CD after the working group meeting that this is already in the PEV, Section 6.2 - "Per SEM 24-074, the SEM Committee also highlighted that the TSOs are directed to include proposals for a methodology to identify and define further locational zones based on system need in the next product review."	Closed
31	21/01/2025	Paul McGuckin	NA	TSOs to clarify in the final PEV that the secondary trading price is always in MW.		N	Further clarity provided within updated PEV.	Closed
32	21/01/2025	Various parties	7 Secondary Trading	TSOs to update Secondary Trading example slide following working group feedback and share explanation with working group members.		N	An updated example was circulated with the minutes on 31 January 2025	Closed

33	12/03/2025	Padraic Higgins	Registration Agreed Procedure	TSOs to confirm if qualification and registration agreed procedures aligned with the SEMC HLD requirement [Section 3.2.3 of SEMC HLD (SEM-22-012)] which requires that the TSOs endeavour to complete the qualification/registration process 90 days from receipt of a valid application?		N	Registration timelines originated from System Operator Guideline (2017/1485) that the TSOs complete the pre-qualification process for balancing capacity products (reserve services) within 90 days. The proposed timelines are also compliant with SEM 22-012]	Closed
34	12/03/2025	Padraic Higgins	Dispute Resolution Agreed Procedure	TSOs to consider increasing the timeline for raising a dispute from 1 years to 2 years of the disputed event, at least for the first couple years of FASS. PH noted this would be in line with the Trading & Settlement code, complexity of FASS and would assist industry with transitioning to FASS.		N	Reference has been amended to 2 years for raising a dispute in the PEV.	Closed
35	12/03/2025	Patrick Liddy	Dispute Resolution Agreed Procedure	TSOs to consider PL point on providing more transparency to participants on the information required as part of the qualification process. This would encourage participants engage at the earliest stage of the dispute and may resolve disputes earlier.	Further information provided by PL on 04/04 for detail on the previous issues that have caused problems in the Capacity Market.	N	Clarification in relation to Qualification process will be included in the next version of the PEV.	Open
36	28/04/2025	Brian Mongan	8 TSO Operational Preferences	TSOs to consider use of the term "preferences" in the Chapter 8 title "TSO Operational Preferences".	TSOs agreed to amend this.	N	The title of this chapter will be reconsidered in the next version of the PEV.	Open
37	28/04/2025	Brian Mongan	9 System Services Supplier Charge	TSOs to investigate if pro-rata payments would apply to all sections of FASS i.e. long-term contracts such as LCIS as well as the DASSA. The PEV will be updated accordingly if they do.	TSOs agreed to investigate and discuss this internally.	N	The TSOs clarified at Working Group 7 that "Pro-rata payments would not be applied to LCIS, since the contractual arrangements are already fixed".	Closed
38	12/11/2025	Cian Fitzgerald	Residual Availability Determination	TSOs to confirm with RAs if any volume insufficiencies below threshold for secondary trading would automatically go into the RAD.		N	TSOs to contact RAs for further discussion.	Open
39	12/11/2025	Multiple parties	Residual Availability Determination	TSOs to provide further clarification on which P-Q pairs will have volume truncated by Real-Time Availability.		N	TSOs are currently investigating this and will provide a response in due course.	Open