

Enduring Connection Policy 1 Constraints Report for Area F Solar and Wind

October 2019

Version 1.0



DISCLAIMER

EirGrid has followed accepted industry practice in the collection and analysis of data available. While all reasonable care has been taken in the preparation of this data, EirGrid is not responsible for any loss that may be attributed to the use of this information. Prior to taking business decisions, interested parties are advised to seek separate and independent opinion in relation to the matters covered by this report and should not rely solely upon data and information contained herein. Information in this document does not amount to a recommendation in respect of any possible investment. This document does not purport to contain all the information that a prospective investor or participant in the Single Electricity Market may need.

For queries relating to the document or to request a copy contact:

cormac.mccarthy@eirgrid.com

or

mark.finnerty@eirgrid.com

COPYRIGHT NOTICE

All rights reserved. This entire publication is subject to the laws of copyright. This publication may not be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or manual, including photocopying without the prior written permission of the TSOs.

©EirGrid Plc 2019

The Oval, 160 Shelbourne Road, Ballsbridge, Dublin 4, D04 FW28, Ireland

DOCUMENT HISTORY

| Version | Date | Comment |
|---------|--------------|-------------------|
| 1.0 | October 2019 | Original release. |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| DOCUMENT STRUCTURE | 5 |
| ABBREVIATION AND TERMS | 6 |
| 1 INTRODUCTION | 9 |
| 1.1 OBJECTIVE | 9 |
| 1.2 BACKGROUND | 9 |
| 1.3 DEFINITION OF CURTAILMENT AND CONSTRAINT | 10 |
| 2 OVERVIEW | 11 |
| 2.1 STUDY AREAS | 12 |
| 2.2 STUDY SCENARIOS | 12 |
| 2.3 GENERATION SCENARIOS..... | 13 |
| 2.4 STUDY YEAR (DEMAND AND NETWORK) AND FUTURE GRID..... | 16 |
| 3 STUDY INPUT ASSUMPTIONS | 17 |
| 3.1 NOTABLE STUDY ASSUMPTIONS..... | 17 |
| 3.1.1 <i>Valid for these Generation Assumptions</i> | 17 |
| 3.1.2 <i>Data Freeze</i> | 17 |
| 3.1.3 <i>Network outages for maintenance and reinforcement</i> | 17 |
| 3.1.4 <i>Network Requirement for Batteries and Conventional Generators</i> | 17 |
| 3.1.5 <i>Priority Dispatch for Renewable Generation Connecting After July 2019</i> | 18 |
| 3.2 NETWORK..... | 18 |
| 3.2.1 <i>Transmission Network</i> | 18 |
| 3.2.2 <i>Distribution System</i> | 21 |
| 3.2.3 <i>Ratings and Overload Ratings</i> | 21 |
| 3.2.4 <i>Transmission Reinforcements</i> | 21 |
| 3.2.5 <i>Network Capability</i> | 23 |
| 3.3 DEMAND | 23 |
| 3.4 INTERCONNECTION | 24 |
| 3.4.1 <i>North-South Tie Line</i> | 24 |
| 3.4.2 <i>Moyle Interconnector</i> | 24 |
| 3.4.3 <i>East West Interconnector (EWIC)</i> | 25 |
| 3.4.4 <i>Additional Interconnection</i> | 25 |
| 3.4.5 <i>Interconnector Capacities</i> | 25 |
| 3.5 PRIORITY DISPATCH AND FUEL PRICES | 26 |
| 3.5.1 <i>Priority Dispatch for Wind and Solar Generation</i> | 26 |
| 3.5.2 <i>Priority Dispatch for Thermal Generation</i> | 26 |
| 3.5.3 <i>Small-Scale Generation</i> | 26 |
| 3.5.4 <i>Fuel and Carbon Prices</i> | 27 |
| 3.6 GENERATION..... | 28 |
| 3.6.1 <i>Conventional Generation</i> | 28 |
| 3.6.2 <i>Renewable Generation</i> | 29 |
| 3.7 SYSTEM OPERATION | 34 |
| 3.7.1 <i>Safe Operation (Security Constrained N-1)</i> | 34 |
| 3.7.2 <i>Operational Constraint Rules</i> | 34 |
| 3.8 DS3 PROGRAMME..... | 39 |
| 3.9 SEM CONSTRAINT GROUPS..... | 40 |
| 4 STUDY METHODOLOGY | 41 |
| 4.1 INTRODUCTION..... | 41 |
| 4.2 PRODUCTION COST MODELLING | 41 |
| 4.3 THE SOFTWARE: PLEXOS INTEGRATED ENERGY MODEL | 42 |
| 4.4 SYSTEM MODEL..... | 43 |
| 4.5 SOFTWARE DETERMINATION OF CURTAILMENT AND CONSTRAINT | 43 |
| 4.6 APPORTIONING OF CURTAILMENT AND CONSTRAINT | 43 |
| 5 RESULTS OVERVIEW FOR AREA F | 45 |

| | | |
|---|--|------------|
| 5.1 | INTRODUCTION..... | 45 |
| 5.2 | NETWORK OVERVIEW AND GENERATION..... | 46 |
| 5.3 | AREA F – AVERAGE RESULTS | 48 |
| 5.4 | SUBGROUPS..... | 53 |
| 5.5 | FUTURE GRID..... | 53 |
| 5.6 | SUMMARY – RESULTS FOR AREA F | 54 |
| APPENDIX A NETWORK REINFORCEMENT | | 57 |
| | <i>A.1 Projects in Future Grid Scenario with Additional Projects Well Beyond the Study Timeframe</i> | <i>60</i> |
| APPENDIX B GENERATOR..... | | 63 |
| | <i>B.1 Generator Type for each Generation Scenario.....</i> | <i>64</i> |
| | <i>B.2 Generator Type by Area for each Generation Scenario</i> | <i>64</i> |
| | <i>B.3 Generator Type by Node for All Offers.....</i> | <i>66</i> |
| | <i>B.4 Generator Type by Node for each Generation Scenario</i> | <i>71</i> |
| | <i>B.5 Generator List by Name.....</i> | <i>77</i> |
| APPENDIX C AREA F NODE RESULTS | | 92 |
| | C.1 BALLYLICKEY..... | 94 |
| | C.2 BANDON | 97 |
| | C.3 DUNMANWAY..... | 100 |
| | C.4 MACROOM..... | 103 |
| REFERENCES | | 107 |

Document Structure

This document contains an Abbreviations and Terms section, an Executive Summary, five main sections, and three Appendices.

The structure of the document is as listed below.

A lot of this document describes study assumptions and methodology. For customers wishing to see the forecast constraints, please proceed to both Chapter 5 and Appendix C.

The **Abbreviations and Terms** provide a list of the abbreviations and terms used in the document.

Chapter 1: Introduction: presents the purpose of the report and the definitions of curtailment and constraint.

Chapter 2: Study Overview: introduces the study areas, the study years and the generation scenarios. Together, these comprise the study scenarios.

Chapter 3: Study Input Assumptions: describes the study assumptions as they relate to network, demand, interconnection, priority dispatch, generation, system operation and DS3.

Chapter 4: Study Methodology: provides an overview of the software used and how the model is put together. A description of how constraint results are apportioned is also provided.

Chapter 5: Results for Area F: outlines the area covered by this report. The section provides a network diagram of the area and an overview of the results for Area F.

Appendix A: Network Reinforcements: lists the reinforcements that are included in the study for each study scenario. These reinforcements have a material impact on the resulting constraints.

Appendix B: Generator Details: provides both an overview of the generation, totals by generator category etc. It also provides a comprehensive list of the individual generators included in the study

Appendix C: Area F Node Results: provides a table of results for every node in the area. This table documents the installed MW, available energy, curtailment and constraint for every node in Area F.

Abbreviation and Terms

Active Power

The product of voltage and the in-phase component of alternating current measured in Megawatts (MW). When compounded with the flow of 'reactive power', measured in Megavolt-Amperes Reactive (Mvar), the resultant is measured in Megavolt-Amperes (MVA).

Busbar

The common connection point of two or more circuits.

Capacity Factor

$$\text{Capacity Factor} = \frac{\text{Energy Output}}{\text{Hours per year} * \text{Installed Capacity}}$$

Combined Cycle Gas Turbine (CCGT)

This is a type of thermal generator that typically uses natural gas as a fuel source. It is a collection of gas turbines and steam units; where waste heat from the gas turbines(s) is passed through a heat recovery boiler to generate steam for the steam turbines.

Commission for Regulation of Utilities (CRU)

The CRU is the regulator for the electricity, natural gas and public water sectors in Ireland.

Constraint

The reduction in output of a generator due to network limits. Usually, constraints are local to a transmission bottleneck.

Contingency

The unexpected failure or outage of a system component, such as a generation unit, transmission line, transformer or other electrical element. The transmission network is operated safe against the possible failure or outage of any system component. Hence, contingency usually refers to the possible loss of any system component. A contingency may also include multiple components, when these are subject to common cause outages.

Curtailement

Curtailement is when the transmission system operators EirGrid and SONI ask generation to reduce their output to ensure system security is maintained. Usually, curtailement is shared across the whole system.

Demand

The amount of electrical power that customers consume and which is measured in Megawatts (MW). In a general sense, the amount of power that must be transported from transmission network connected generation stations to meet all customers' electricity requirements.

Enduring Connection Policy (ECP)

The Commission for Regulation of Utilities (CRU) has put in place a revised approach to issuing connection offers to generators. This approach is called the Enduring Connection Policy (ECP). With ECP, it is envisaged that batches of generator connection offers will issue on a periodic basis.

Enduring Connection Policy - 1 (ECP-1)

Under the ECP arrangements, the processing of the first batch, called Enduring Connection Policy – 1 (ECP-1), began in 2018 and is expected to conclude in early 2020. A second batch, called ECP-2, will begin processing shortly thereafter. For ECP-1, EirGrid and ESB will issue 2 GW of generator connection offers. It is a feature of ECP-1 that these offers are made on a non-firm basis. Also, it is a requirement of ECP-1 that EirGrid provide a constraints report for the generators.

Forced Outage Probability (FOP)

This is the statistical probability that a generation unit will be unable to produce electricity for non-scheduled reasons due to the failure of either the generation plant or supporting systems. Periods, when the unit is on scheduled outage, are not included in the determination of forced outage probability.

Generation Dispatch

This is the configuration of outputs from the connected generation units.

Interconnector

The electrical link, facilities and equipment that connect the transmission network of one EU member state to another.

Loadflow

Study carried out to simulate the flow of power on the transmission system given a generation dispatch and system load.

A DC loadflow is a study, which uses simplifying assumptions in relation to voltage and reactive power. DC loadflow studies are used as part of a complicated overarching study. For example, Plexos uses DC loadflow because it is performing studies for every hour of every study year and is performing a large optimisation calculation for each of these.

Maximum Export Capacity (MEC)

The maximum export value (MW) provided in accordance with a generator's connection agreement. The MEC is a contract value that the generator chooses as its maximum output.

Megawatt (MW) and Gigawatt (GW)

Unit of power: 1 megawatt = 1,000 kilowatts = 10⁶ joules / second

1 gigawatt = 1,000 megawatts

Megawatt Hour (MWh), Gigawatt Hour (GWh) and Terawatt Hour (TWh)

Unit of energy: 1 megawatt hour = 1,000 kilowatt hours = 3.6 x 10⁹ joules

1 gigawatt hour = 1,000 megawatt hours

1 terawatt hour = 1,000 gigawatt hours

Plexos

Plexos is the power system simulation tool used in this study to evaluate curtailment and constraint. Plexos is a detailed generation and transmission analysis program that has been widely used in the electricity industry for many years.

Rate of Change of Frequency (ROCOF)

As low inertia non-synchronous generators displace high inertia synchronous generators in system dispatch, then the system gets lighter. Then, for the loss of a large infeed (e.g. trip of an interconnector or generator), the system frequency will change more quickly.

ROCOF is the agreed limit to which the system is agreed to be operated and which generators, demand and system protection schemes are expected to manage. In Ireland, the TSOs are proposing to increase the ROCOF value. This will allow more renewable generation and may require confirmation by participants that they can meet the proposed ROCOF.

Short Run Marginal Cost (SRMC)

The instantaneous variable cost for a power plant to provide an additional unit of electricity, i.e. the cost of each extra MW it could produce excluding its fixed costs. The SRMC reflects the opportunity cost of the electricity produced, which is the economic activity that the generator forgoes to produce electricity. For example, in the case of a generator fueled by gas, the opportunity cost includes the price of gas on the day that it is bidding in because if the generator is not producing electricity it could sell its gas in the open market.

System Non-Synchronous Penetration (SNSP)

The introduction of large quantities of non-synchronous generators such as solar and wind poses challenges to a synchronous power system. For Ireland, a system non-synchronous penetration (SNSP) ratio is defined to help identify the system operational limits. The present allowable ratio is 65% but the proposed procurement of system services other than energy and proposed amendments to system operation are expected to allow SNSP to increase in future years.

Total Electricity Requirement (TER)

TER is the total amount of electricity required by a country. It includes all electricity exported by generating units, as well as that consumed on-site by self-consuming electricity producers, e.g. CHP.

Transmission Peak

The peak demand that is transported on the transmission network. The transmission peak includes an estimate of transmission losses.

Transmission System

The transmission system is a meshed network of high-voltage lines and cables (400 kV, 275 kV, 220 kV and 110 kV) for the transmission of bulk electricity supply around Ireland and Northern Ireland.

Transmission System Operator

In the electrical power business, a transmission system operator is the licensed entity that is responsible for transmitting electrical power from generation plants to regional or local electricity distribution operators.

Uprating

To increase the rating of a circuit. This is achieved by increasing ground clearances and/or replacing conductor, together with any changes to terminal equipment, support structures and foundations.

Winter Peak

This is the maximum annual system demand. It occurs in the period October to February, inclusive in Ireland and in the Period November to February in Northern Ireland.

1 Introduction

1.1 Objective

It is a requirement of CRU's ECP-1 decision, CRU/18/058, that system operators carry out system studies to inform applicants about possible constraint levels. This document is designed to fulfil this requirement for the solar and wind generators in Area F. Its purpose is to provide generation connection applicants with information on the possible levels of generation output reduction for a range of scenarios.

It presents the results of studies for a range of generation scenarios and these indicate the levels of transmission curtailment and constraint that solar and wind generation might experience in the future.

The curtailment and constraint results for Area F are included in Chapter 5 and in Appendix C.

1.2 Background

The background to this constraints report covers ongoing changes in generation, demand, network and DS3. All of these have an impact on the constraints evaluation.

More details of the study assumptions are provided in Section 3.

Generation

Since Gate 3, EirGrid has issued an additional 2 GW of connection offers as Non-GPA (Non-Group Processing Approach). And now, in line with government policy and regulator direction, EirGrid is issuing another 2 GW of connection offers, referred to as the Enduring Connection Policy (ECP).

It is not clear at this stage which of these generators will be successful in future renewable support auctions. And so, it is not clear which generators will build or when. This uncertainty has an impact on the approach to this constraint analysis. For this reason, this report uses multiple generation scenarios, so that generators can take a view on the range of curtailment and constraint for different generator build-outs.

Demand

Demand has been growing in Ireland for the past few years and demand has an impact on curtailment. Higher demand means lower curtailment. The system growth forecasts used are the median forecasts from the Generation Capacity Statement 2018.

Network

The analysis concentrates on 2020 to 2022 where the network development is reinforced with existing approved projects and where the predicted network is relatively certain.

DS3

The DS3 programme, led by EirGrid in co-operation with the electricity industry, is expected to continue to successfully deliver improvements in system operation and allow more wind and solar to generate more of the time. This includes improvements to SNSP, ROCOF, inertia and DS3 service provision. DS3 delivery assumptions are part of the study assumptions for this report.

1.3 Definition of Curtailment and Constraint

The terms 'curtailment' and 'constraint' are sometimes used interchangeably to refer to changes in the output of generators in order to maintain the operation of a safe, secure and reliable power system. For the purposes of this report, these terms are used to refer to changes in generator output under different specific circumstances.

EirGrid must dispatch generators in such a way as to provide a range of system services in order to operate a safe and secure electricity system. The types of system services required include the following:

- Frequency control,
- Provision of reserve,
- Voltage control,
- Load following,
- Ability to withstand disturbances,
- Inertia.

As these factors are not accounted for in the SEM, the system operators must deviate from the market schedule and change the output of generators in order to ensure that sufficient quantities of the system services outlined above are made available at all times. The real-time dispatch can change from the market schedule also because of demand and wind forecast errors and unexpected trippings of plant.

Curtailment

Most system services, such as frequency control and reserve, can be located anywhere on the transmission system, whereas services such as voltage control are location specific. Curtailment can arise at times when solar and wind generation levels are a high percentage of system demand as it may be necessary to reduce output from solar and wind powered generators in order to retain the necessary amount of conventional generation online to provide all the required system services. A main component of this is the limit on System Non Synchronous Penetration (SNSP). For the purposes of this report, we classify the changes in generator output which are required by EirGrid for system reasons as 'curtailment'.

Constraint

The output of generators may also need to be changed from the market schedule due to transmission network limitations, specifically the overloading of transmission lines, cables and transformers. This can happen for an intact network but typically occurs for network contingencies. In other words, a line may become overloaded if another line were to trip. In order to avoid this, generation is dispatched so that if the tripping were to occur there would not be any contingency overloads. Changes in generator output for this reason are referred to in this report as 'constraint'. The constraining of generation is location-specific and can be significantly reduced by transmission network reinforcements. The model accounts for N-1 contingencies which is the usual security criteria used for dispatching the power system. In other words the transmission system will be dispatched in such a way that any single contingency will not cause overloads, or will not exceed circuit short term overload capabilities.

Some transmission constraints might only exist temporarily due to transmission lines being taken out of service for maintenance or uprating. Maintenance is not covered in this report. Also, as the focus of this report is on levels of output reduction, the costs associated with constraints and curtailment are not covered here.

2 Overview




Figure 2-1 Areas Designated for Preparing Wind Energy Profiles, Generation Scenarios and Reporting Results

This chapter presents an overview of the curtailment and constraints assessment. A description is

provided of the study scenarios, which in turn are a combination of generation scenarios and study years.

It provides an overview of the study areas. These are fundamental to understanding the contents of the individual area reports. It also provides an overview of the demand, generation and network assumptions that are used in the study. Taken together, this information provides an overview of this constraints analysis.

2.1 Study Areas

The areas shown in Figure 2-1 on the previous page are used for preparing wind energy profiles, for setting up generation scenarios and for reporting results. These Areas are similar to those used for the Gate 3 constraints analysis.

2.2 Study Scenarios

The study scenarios are made up of a combination of generation scenarios with scenarios for network and demand. The twenty two study scenarios are shown in Table 2-1 below.

| Network and Demand | Generation Scenario | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------|------------|-------|------------|-----|-----|-----|
| | Initial | North West | South | North East | 33% | 66% | All |
| 2020 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| 2021 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| 2022 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Future Grid | | | | | | | X |

Table 2-1 Study Scenarios

| Network and Demand | Generation Scenario | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------|------------|-------|------------|-----|-----|-----|
| | Initial | North West | South | North East | 33% | 66% | All |
| 2020 | X | | X | | X | X | X |
| 2021 | X | | X | | X | X | X |
| 2022 | X | | X | | X | X | X |
| Future Grid | | | | | | | X |

Table 2-2 Scenarios for which Results are Provided in this Report for Area F

A description of the generation scenarios and the network and demand scenarios are provided below in Section 2.3 and Section 0 respectively.

Not all of the results from all of the generation scenarios are of interest to generators connecting in

Area F. For this reason and in order that the presentation is not unnecessarily confusing, this report provides the results for a subset of the studies. As shown in Table 2-2 and for Area F, the study results provided in this report are Initial, South, 33%, 66% and All.

2.3 Generation Scenarios

The seven generation study scenarios range from an Initial scenario which is the generation expected to be in place in the year 2020 to an All Offers scenario which includes all the generators which will have connection offers at the end of ECP-1.




Figure 2-2 Generation Scenarios (North West, South and North East) - Geographic

There are five middle scenarios. The first three middle scenarios are called North West, South and North East. In each case, these generation scenarios consider the possibility that all the generation with connection offers in their respective regions shown in Figure 2-2 is included in the study.

The other two middle scenarios are called 33% and 66%. The scenario called 33% includes all the generation in the initial scenario and 33% of the remaining generation. Likewise for 66%.

As Area F is in the south, there are five relevant generation scenarios for Area F. These are Initial, South, 33%, 66% and All Offers. The results for these scenarios are provided in this report. For the other two scenarios, North West and North East, there is no change in the installed generation in the South or in Area F, compared to the Initial scenario. Hence, and to avoid unnecessarily complication of the presentation of results, the North West and North East generation scenarios are not provided for Area F.




Figure 2-3 Generation Scenarios: Installed GW and Available Energy TWh

To repeat, the generation scenarios are as follows.

- The Initial scenario has the generation scheduled to be connected in 2020. There are no ECP-1 generators in this scenario.
- The North West scenario has all the residual Gate 3, the Non GPA and the ECP-1 generation connection applications in the North West in the study in addition to those generators in the Initial scenario.
- The South scenario has all the residual Gate 3, the Non GPA and the ECP-1 generation connection applications in the South in the study in addition to those generators in the Initial scenario.
- The North East scenario has all the residual Gate 3, the Non GPA and the ECP-1 generation connection applications in the North East in the study in addition to those generators in the Initial scenario.
- The 33% scenario is 33% of the way between the Initial scenario and the All scenario.
- The 66% scenario is 66% of the way between the Initial scenario and the All scenario.
- The All scenario has all the Gate 3, the Non GPA and the ECP-1 generation connection applications in the study.

And in this report, the results for Area F are presented for the generation scenarios called Initial, South, 33%, 66% and All Offers.

The quantities of generation in the generation scenarios are summarised in Figure 2-3 and in Table 2-3.

| Generation Scenario | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | Initial | North West | South | North East | 33% | 66% | All |
| Battery | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| Solar | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 2.5 |
| New Thermal | 0.02 | 0.1 | 0.06 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Wind | 4.2 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 2.0 | 4.1 | 6.1 |
| Total | 4.3 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 3.1 | 6.2 | 9.3 |

Table 2-3 Generation Scenarios: by Type – Installed GW

2.4 Study Year (Demand and Network) and Future Grid

| Network and Demand | Demand TWh | Generation Scenario | Available Energy from Solar and Wind TWh |
|--------------------|---------------|---------------------|---|
| 2020 | 32.2 | Initial | 13.3 |
| 2021 | 33.8 | North West | 15.1 |
| 2022 | 36.2 | South | 15.9 |
| Future Grid | 36.2 | North East | 15.8 |
| | | 33% | 14.9 |
| | | 66% | 16.5 |
| | | All | 18.1 |

Table 2-4 Annual Demand (TWh) from Generation Capacity Statement 2018 and, for comparison, the Available Energy (TWh) from Solar and Wind

The study years are chosen to achieve a balance between expected progress in the medium term (predicted DS3 improvements, transmission reinforcements and forecast demand increase) and focusing on the near time to remain realistic and accurate.

This is achieved by studying the years 2020, 2021 and 2022.

In consulting with industry in advance of this review, there was a request for an additional study which could show the combined impact of a moderate number of additional projects that are due to be delivered beyond 2022. Hence, there is a Future Grid scenario. This adds a number of major projects to the 2022 Year. These are North-South 400 kV, Celtic interconnector, Greenlink interconnector, series compensation of the 400 kV network, Project 966, North Connaught 110 kV, major DS3 improvements and several 110 kV uprates. The transmission reinforcements and DS3 initiatives included in the study years are listed in the appendix to this report. The Future Grid scenario uses the 2022 demand.

The demand forecast used is the median forecast from EirGrid's Generation Capacity Statement 2018-2027.

It is worth comparing the annual available energy (TWh) from solar and wind for the different studies with the system demand (TWh). This information is provided above in Table 2-4.

3 Study Input Assumptions

This chapter provides an overview of the input assumptions for the curtailment and constraint modelling. The sections below deal with the assumptions for Network, Study Areas, Demand, Interconnection, Generation, Energy Storage and System Operation.

3.1 Notable Study Assumptions

The following study assumptions are worth noting.

3.1.1 Valid for these Generation Assumptions

The forecast curtailment and constraint in this report is valid for the generation assumptions used in the studies.

3.1.2 Data Freeze

The data freeze for the input assumptions for this analysis was in early 2019. As a result, there may be some developments with the electricity network that are not included here.

3.1.3 Network outages for maintenance and reinforcement

The studies for this report assume an intact network. In other words, there are no network outages in the study. Because transmission equipment is usually in service, this assumption is reasonable when working to provide an average view of expected curtailment and constraint.

However, in order to refurbish or uprate transmission circuits and transmission stations, there is often the need for the circuits or stations to be uprated. This could result in wind and solar generation temporarily seeing higher constraints during these outages.

3.1.4 Network Requirement for Batteries and Conventional Generators

To date, those Batteries are applying for connection to participate in DS3 system services. They have indicated that they typically have an energy storage capacity of less than 30 minutes. Therefore, the expectation is that they will be contracting with DS3 services for reserve provision. As such, these batteries are expected to usually remain at zero output for the majority of the time and only provide energy when required. Hence, the study assumption for this report is that our methodology does not check for N-1 compliance for the battery generating or charging coincident with high levels of wind and solar.

The situation with conventional generation is similar. For conventional generation, the dispatch is primarily economic in nature. As such, the software only runs those relatively expensive conventional generators infrequently in the simulation.

In summary, the model does not assume that batteries and peaker generators are running at the same

time as solar and wind is generating.

For this constraints report, this assumption is reasonable. However, in the future, if batteries were to require more running, and / or if a future operation of the system was to require prolonged running of peaker generators, solar and wind, then this constraints analysis would need to be revised.

3.1.5 Priority Dispatch for Renewable Generation Connecting After July 2019

A recent regulation has issued from the EU in relation to the treatment of priority dispatch of renewable generation over 400 kW connecting post 4th July 2019.

The relevant clause is as follows:

REGULATION (EU) 2019/943 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 5 June 2019 on the internal market for electricity

<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32019R0943&from=EN>

Article 12 (6)

Without prejudice to contracts concluded before 4 July 2019, power-generating facilities that use renewable energy sources or high-efficiency cogeneration and were commissioned before 4 July 2019 and, when commissioned, were subject to priority dispatch under Article 15(5) of Directive 2012/27/EU or Article 16(2) of Directive 2009/28/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (20) shall continue to benefit from priority dispatch. Priority dispatch shall no longer apply to such power-generating facilities from the date on which the power-generating facility becomes subject to significant modifications, which shall be deemed to be the case at least where a new connection agreement is required or where the generation capacity of the power-generating facility is increased.

At the time of writing, the consequences, and exact details of implementation of this decision, have yet to be fully understood.

For this report, there is no differentiation made in the studies between renewable generation connecting pre-July 2019 and post-July 2019.

3.2 Network

3.2.1 Transmission Network

This section details the modelling assumptions used in this study for the transmission network. A list of the network reinforcements used in the model is provided in Appendix A.

The Irish transmission system is a meshed network with voltage levels at 400 kV, 275 kV, 220 kV and 110 kV. The distribution system typically has a radial topography with voltage levels at 110 kV in the Dublin region, and 38 kV, 20 kV, 10 kV and low voltage nationwide. The network is necessary to allow bulk power flows to be transported over long distances from power stations and renewable generation sites to the towns and cities in Ireland.

Transmission System 400 kV, 275 kV, 220 kV and 110 kV

Year End 2018

LEGEND

Transmission

- 400 kV Lines
- 275 kV Lines
- 220 kV Lines
- 110 kV Lines
- - - HVDC Cables
- - - 220 kV Cables
- - - 110 kV Cables

Connected Generation

- Hydro
- Thermal
- ▼ Pumped Storage
- Wind
- 400 kV Stators
- 275 kV Stators
- 220 kV Stators
- 110 kV Stators
- ⊗ Phase Shifting Transformer




Figure 3-1 Ireland transmission network (2019)

Transmission System
400 kV, 275 kV, 220 kV and 110 kV
Generation – Showing Generator Locations
Reinforcements for 2020, 2021, 2022 and
for Significant Reinforcement Sensitivity Study




Figure 3-2 Ireland transmission network, showing assumed future generator locations and future projects.

As the transmission system operator (TSO), EirGrid is tasked with operating the transmission system in a safe, secure, economic, efficient and reliable manner. EirGrid are also responsible for planning the network of the future.

Currently, there are new renewable generation connections being planned to enable the increase in electrical power consumption to be derived from renewable energy sources, i.e. wind power and solar power whilst reducing the dependence on conventional generation.

The purpose of this technical study is to provide the probable levels of transmission network constraint across the study period 2020-2022. The level of network constraint will be due to a number of reasons:

- Scenarios of varying levels of installed generation, ranging from the installed generation connected in 2020 up to the installed generation including all connection offers.
- The climatic year, i.e. the level of sunshine or wind speed for that year.
- The expected network development plans.
- The network contingencies that cause other lines to overload in the event of the fault on a section of the network.

Figure 3-1 shows the existing Ireland transmission network. Figure 3-2 shows the location of the future generator connections and shows a number of the future large projects that are included in the Future Grid scenario.

3.2.2 Distribution System

For the purposes of the constraints modelling, a simplified representation of the distribution system is used whereby all load and generation is assumed to be aggregated to the nearest transmission node.

Hence, this report does not account for the impact of constraints (if any) on the distribution network.

3.2.3 Ratings and Overload Ratings

In formulating an optimum dispatch system operation takes account of potential overloads that could be caused as a result of certain N-1 contingencies on the transmission system. When determining if the post-contingency flows are within limits, the system operator uses the overload rating of the apparatus or plant (for N-1) as well as the normal rating (for N flows). Where available, the overload rating is typically higher than the normal rating but is only allowed in emergency conditions and for short periods of time. The overload rating is plant specific.


This rating and overload rating is handled in Plexos.

3.2.4 Transmission Reinforcements


For each study year from 2020 through 2022, a number of transmission reinforcements are added to the model. These additional transmission reinforcements include Overhead Line (OHL) and cable uprating as well as new build OHLs, cables and transformers.

A full list of the transmission reinforcements (new build and uprates) assumed in the constraints modelling is included in Appendix A Network Reinforcement.


Area 1 – Dublin




Area 2 – West




Area 3 – North-West Border



Area 4 – Midlands



Area 5 – South-West to South-East



Area 6 – South-East



Figure 3-3 Tomorrow's Energy Scenarios (TES) System Needs Assessment"

Customers should recognise that the reinforcements listed will be subject to a full economic analysis and optimisation process before a decision is made to proceed with them. This analysis takes into account the latest demand forecasts and the level of acceptance and subsequent progress of generator connection offers. Inclusion of transmission reinforcement projects in this report is not confirmation that they will proceed and other projects may be selected in their place. For the avoidance of doubt, any party making a decision based on this list should recognise that these are modelling assumptions only and should not be considered as a basis in fact.

Additional information about these reinforcements is available on the EirGrid website.

3.2.5 Network Capability

In 2018, EirGrid published “Tomorrow’s Energy Scenarios (TES) System Needs Assessment”.

That report looks at the future network in the study years 2025, 2030 and 2040. This report identifies six areas of the network where there is a potential need for Grid Reinforcement. These areas are shown in Figure 3-3.

This ECP constraint report encounters transmission constraints in similar locations as those that are listed in the TES report.

This ECP constraint report has constraints in other locations as well. These arise in two ways. The first is where a forthcoming reinforcement is included in the TES but is not included here. This happens when the reinforcement is due for completion after 2022 (the cut off for this report) but before 2025 (TES Study Year). There are several examples of this but a notable one is the Galway 110 kV busbar reinforcement. The second is where there are new ECP generator connection applications that were not in the TES.

It is a feature of power flows in Ireland, after local demand is met, that the extra power needs to be transported to the East. This is to meet the Dublin load and to supply export markets via EWIC.

From a constraints point of view, some generator locations are relatively disadvantaged because their power has to cross several of the areas shown in Figure 3-3. The impact of this will be seen on the constraint levels in some study areas.

3.3 Demand

An introduction to the demand used in this report is provided in Chapter 2.

| Year | TER (TWh) | | | Transmission Winter Peak (GW) | | |
|------|-----------|------------------|------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------|
| | Ireland | Northern Ireland | All-Island | Ireland | Northern Ireland | All-Island |
| 2020 | 32.2 | 9.2 | 41.4 | 5.5 | 1.7 | 7.2 |
| 2021 | 33.8 | 9.2 | 43.0 | 5.7 | 1.7 | 7.3 |
| 2022 | 36.2 | 9.3 | 45.5 | 6.0 | 1.8 | 7.7 |

Table 3-1 Forecast Demand and Peak for study years 2020-2022

The demand profiles for both Ireland and Northern Ireland are based on their actual 2015 demand profiles. This is the same year as used for the wind profiles. These are then adjusted to get an annual winter peak and energy value as per the median demand in the Generation Capacity Statement 2018-2027. The values used are shown in Table 3-1.

The nodal distribution of the load used in the constraints modelling is consistent with the “All Island Transmission Forecast Statement 2017”.

3.4 Interconnection

Existing Interconnection on the island consists of a tie line between Ireland and Northern Ireland plus two High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) interconnectors to Great Britain (GB) referred to as the Moyle Interconnector and the East-West Interconnector (EWIC). This section describes the assumptions and modelling methodology used for interconnection in these studies.

For all the model scenarios, the interconnectors are set up to export wind that would otherwise be curtailed, subject to the provisions of the SNSP rule and available interconnector capacity.

3.4.1 North-South Tie Line

The connection of Ireland’s power system to Northern Ireland is achieved via a double circuit 275 kV line running from Louth to Tandragee. In addition to the main 275 kV double circuit; there are two 110 kV connections, one between Letterkenny in Co. Donegal and Strabane in Co. Tyrone, and the other between Corraclassy in Co. Cavan and Enniskillen in Co. Fermanagh.

The purpose of these 110 kV circuits is to provide support to either transmission system for certain conditions or in the event of an unexpected circuit outage. Phase shifting transformers in Strabane and Enniskillen are used to control the power flow under normal conditions.

It is assumed that the Letterkenny-Strabane and Corraclassy-Enniskillen 110 kV connections are not used to transfer power between the two control areas for the purposes of this constraint modelling exercise.

EirGrid is currently developing a 400 kV North-South Interconnector between Woodland and Turleenan, which for the purposes of these studies is assumed to connect in 2023.

Prior to the 400 kV North-South Interconnector being built, the existing Louth-Tandragee Interconnector is assumed to be limited. The assumption in this study is that flow are limited to 300 MW from South to North and 300 MW from North to South. When the 400 kV North-South Interconnector is in place, this limitation will be effectively removed.

3.4.2 Moyle Interconnector

The Moyle Interconnector, which went into commercial operation in 2002, connects the electricity grids of Northern Ireland and Great Britain between Ballylumford and Auchencrosh in Scotland. It has a capacity of 500 MW however; it can be limited to 83 MW export at present.

At present, National Grid is carrying out work to increase the ability to receive power in Scotland. There is also a limit on the Northern Ireland side to the amount of power that can be exported. The projected increases in export capability (power to Scotland) for this study are set out in the Table 3-2. The Future Grid assumption that Moyle will be able to export 500 MW is a study assumption for the

future. There is no immediate plan to increase the export capacity to this level until after the North South interconnector is built, the ability to export power to Scotland will then be reviewed.

The import capacity remains at 450 MW.

3.4.3 East West Interconnector (EWIC)

It is assumed that the EWIC is modelled for all study years with a maximum export capacity of 530 MW. The extra 30 MW is to account for losses in the converter stations and on the cable.

3.4.4 Additional Interconnection

For the Future Grid scenario, a Celtic interconnector with a 700 MW export capacity and a Greenlink interconnector with a 500 MW export capacity is modelled.

| | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | Future Grid |
|-------------------------------|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| Moyle Export Capacity | 83 | 255 / 210 | 210 / 138 | 138 / 295 | 500 |
| EWIC Export Capacity | 530 | 530 | 530 | 530 | 530 |
| Celtic Export Capacity | | | | | 700 |
| Greenlink | | | | | 500 |

Table 3-2 Interconnection capacities

3.4.5 Interconnector Capacities

The interconnector capacities used in the model are shown in Table 3-2.

It is a study assumption that interconnectors can be used to export renewable energy, with the proviso that, when calculating an annual average behaviour, it would be optimistic to assume that maximum interconnection will always be available when required.

For various reasons, there will be times when the international market schedule will sometimes provide less export than could theoretically be possible. For example, the receiving country may not be in a position to accept large trades, the position of renewable energy in the day ahead market may be impacted by forecast error, etc., etc.

Hence, for modelling purposes in this report, it is assumed that the export capacity of each interconnector is de-rated by 20% to account for this. For example with Celtic, the export rating is 568 MW is in the model.

3.5 Priority Dispatch and Fuel Prices

3.5.1 Priority Dispatch for Wind and Solar Generation

In Ireland and Northern Ireland, wind and solar generation is given priority dispatch and this is implemented in the model.

This is achieved in the model by treating both controllable wind and controllable solar as if it had an offer price of zero. As Plexos seeks to provide the most economical solution while satisfying all transmission system constraints, it consequently will run as much wind and solar as it can.

3.5.2 Priority Dispatch for Thermal Generation

The generators shown in Table 3-3 are in the model as priority dispatch thermal plant that can be dispatched down to minimum generation levels during curtailment or constraint.

For this study, it is assumed that the peat power plants at least partially convert to renewable energy (biomass) and so remain with priority dispatch, albeit a lower priority than wind and solar.

3.5.3 Small-Scale Generation

| Generating Unit | Existing (MW) | Maximum Export Capacity (MW) | Minimum Export Capacity (MW) |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Edenderry | 118 | 118 | 42 |
| Lough Ree | 91 | 91 | 31 |
| West Offaly | 137 | 137 | 48 |
| Dublin Waste-to-Energy | 61.5 | 61.5 | 21.5 |
| Indaver Waste | 17 | 17 | 10 |
| Seal Rock 3&4 | 166 | 166 | 80 |
| Tawnaghmore (Mayo Renewable) | 0 | 49 | 20 |
| Bandon (GP Wood) | 0 | 17 | 6 |
| Derryiron (Rhode Biomass) | 0 | 18 | 6 |
| Navan (Farrelly Brothers) | 0 | 13 | 5 |
| Thornsberry (Derryclure) | 0 | 10 | 4 |

Table 3-3 Priority Dispatch – Thermal – Large Generators

Small-scale generation in constraints modelling refers to small uncontrollable, generation typically less than 5MW such as:

- Wind turbine e.g. a 250kW wind turbine supplying a farm or factory
- Solar PV e.g. a 4kW PV system installed on a home

- Hydro e.g. a 100kW run of river hydro scheme
- Tidal generator e.g. a 1.2MW Turbine
- Combined Heat and Power Generation, e.g. a 1MW natural gas CHP supplying a leisure centre
- An anaerobic digester, e.g. a 500kW AD plant converting electricity from waste food
- A diesel generator, e.g. a standby generation for a factory or commercial building.

| Generating Unit | Existing (MW) | Minimum Export Capacity (MW) | Maximum Export Capacity (MW) |
|--------------------|---------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Butlerstown | | | 2 |
| Castleview | | | 4 |
| Charleville | | | 2 |
| Drybridge | | | 3 |
| Finglas | | | 4 |
| Macroom | | | 1 |
| Meath Hill | | | 4 |
| Richmond | | | 5 |
| Tonroe | | | 2 |

Table 3-4 Future Thermal Small Scale Generators

It is assumed that the future small generators listed in Table 3-4 are not re-dispatched to allow wind generation to be dispatched. These generators are modelled in their respective Generator Scenarios, depending on North West, South, North East, etc. These small generators, should they connect, are modelled as competing for access to the transmission network.

3.5.4 Fuel and Carbon Prices

| Fuel Type | IE | NI |
|------------|---------|---------|
| Gas €/GJ | € 6.40 | € 6.40 |
| Coal €/GJ | € 2.47 | € 2.85 |
| LSFO €/GJ | € 6.35 | |
| DO €/GJ | € 11.85 | € 11.85 |
| CO2 €/tCO2 | € 22.49 | €22.49 |

Table 3-5 Fuel and Carbon prices based on DBC 2019-20 Forecast

Since Plexos operates with a commitment and dispatch strategy to provide the most economical solution while satisfying all transmission system constraints, the fuel and carbon prices employed in the model are therefore relevant as to which generators are committed and dispatched. To an extent, this can influence both curtailment and transmission constraint levels experienced by renewable

generators.

The prices used in the model are listed can be found in Table 3-5. The fuel and carbon prices are kept constant through the study period. The monthly differences in gas and oil prices are accounted for by using historical fuel profiles, but ensuring that the annual average is equal to the values shown above.

The cost of carbon is included in the commitment and dispatch decisions for each generating unit that emits carbon. The gas units in Ireland are also subject to a gas transportation charge that is included in the model.

Dublin Bay has a long-term fuel contract and is allowed to bid lower costs to the market based on this. It has recently been notified to the market that this long-term fuel contract will end in 2019. Hence, for this constraints analysis, it is assumed that Dublin Bay's gas price will be the same as other gas units.

3.6 Generation

An introduction and overview of the generation in this report is provided in Chapter 2. Additional detail is now provided in this section.

3.6.1 Conventional Generation

The model includes a portfolio of the thermal conventional generation in both Ireland and Northern Ireland. The operating characteristics of the existing conventional generation employed in the modelling are principally based on the SEM Generator Dataset. In some instances, minor changes to the dataset are made due to additional information becoming available to the TSOs.

The technical dataset includes the following information:

1. Fuel type (e.g. gas, wind, coal etc.)
2. Maximum and minimum operating output (MW)
3. Capacity state and heat rates (used to determine how much fuel is burnt to produce 1MW of output power)
4. Ramp rates (important to determine how quickly a machine can change its power output)
5. Minimum up-time and downtime

This technical data allows the Plexos software to calculate the cost of generating a megawatt of electrical energy for each generator in the model. Note that each generator has a different cost.

Other factors that influence the generation dispatch over an extended study horizon are:

- Generation Commissioning & Decommissioning
- Generation Outages
- Generation Emissions restrictions

3.6.1.1 Conventional Generation Commissioning and Decommissioning

With the introduction of SEM Capacity Auctions, the future of conventional generator commissioning and decommissioning is more dynamic than would previously have been the case. That being the case, a number of generator units retired from service in 2018 and, for the Generation Capacity Statement, some generators have indicated that their units may retire beyond the time frame of this study (e.g. 2023).

Hence, over the study period, it is assumed that there is no additional commissioning or decommissioning of large system generators.

3.6.1.2 Conventional Generation Outages

Scheduled and forced conventional generator outages are modelled in Plexos using Scheduled Outage Durations (SODs) and Forced Outage Probabilities (FOPs).

For this study, the Forced Outage Probabilities are used. The FOPs employed are those used for the DBC 2019-20 Forecast. Plexos will generate forced outage patterns from the FOP and mean time to repair data, which will provide a deterministic outage pattern against which the model will dispatch generation against demand.

3.6.1.3 Conventional Generation Emissions Limits

The European Union has set ambitious targets for decarbonisation and for renewable energy for the electricity sector by 2030. To date the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED) and Clean Energy For All Package are the two main instruments which will aim to transform the electricity sector, amongst other sectors, to a cleaner and more sustainable future for all.

The Clean Energy Package targets all generation to be under 550g/kWh by 2025 and this limit will affect certain generation plants in Ireland.

In Ireland, some plants are affected by the IED, and have entered into the Ireland Transitional National Plan (TNP). However, it is not anticipated that their running regimes will be curtailed. For example, under the TNP, Moneypoint's availability will be closely linked to the performance of its abatement equipment.

Hence, over the study period, explicit emission limits for conventional generation are not included in the model.

3.6.2 Renewable Generation

The amount of electrical energy output from renewable generation is generally described in terms of capacity factor. The capacity factor relates to the amount of energy that may be achieved from a renewable technology over the period of one calendar year. One factor in the energy yield difference is that solar PV does not produce electrical energy at night, but the wind can blow at any time of the day or night.

The values used in this study for solar and wind are listed below.

3.6.2.1 Renewable Generation - Installed Capacity

In the model, the generation portfolio to be employed for each study year is specified explicitly. The lists of the renewable generators assumed in each study is contained in Appendix B Generator.

In previous constraint reports, predicted generator build out rates were used to place generators in the different study years. This is not the case in this report because there is uncertainty as to which generators will be successful in future support auctions and which generators will proceed to construction. Hence, this report uses regional generation scenarios, which are described in Chapter 2.

| Northern Ireland in model (MW) | |
|--------------------------------|---------|
| Wind | 1345 MW |
| Large Scale Solar | 157 MW |
| Small Scale Solar | 116 MW |

Table 3-6 Installed Solar and Wind in Northern Ireland

3.6.2.2 Solar




Figure 3-4 Solar Energy Profile (monthly average – hour of day)

On average, solar profiles tend to have a fairly predictable shape. Figure 3-4 shows the average hourly energy output from solar PV over a one year period. The capacity factor for solar PV is largely dependent on latitude - the closer to the equator the higher the annual capacity factor. The solar capacity factor for a country like Spain will have a value of around 20%, i.e. double the output of Ireland.

The surface plot of Figure 3-4 highlights the expected Ireland solar profile characteristic. The lowest intensity of solar electrical output is in the 4 winter months November through to February with hourly values on average not exceeding 20%. As expected the solar electrical energy output is highest in the summer months with average hourly solar electrical output peaking in the 50-60% range.

The main point is that the solar electrical available energy is fairly predictable, and is typically there during times of increasing electrical demand i.e. the morning rise. However, the winter peak demand will not be met by solar.

Solar energy output may be reduced if it is located on a part of the network that has constraint issues.

3.6.2.2.1 Solar Profiles

Solar generation is modelled in the analysis using an hourly solar power series at every transmission node where solar generation is connected.

To provide a representative solar series for Ireland, three solar profiles are used. The groupings used are shown in Figure 3-5. The capacity factors of the different profiles are shown in Table 3-7.

This approach captures the variation in solar energy when comparing solar farms in the south and solar farms in the north. Clearly, this approach does not take into account hourly variations in solar power within each group, due to local cloud in that individual hour, etc. Since this study is focused on the curtailment and constraint on the transmission system, it is reasonable to assume that these solar profiles capture the average behaviour of solar on the island.

EirGrid is grateful for the assistance of the solar industry in providing these profiles for use in this study.




Figure 3-5 Groupings used for Solar Profiles in Model

| Solar | Capacity Factor |
|--------------|-----------------|
| Solar North | 10% |
| Solar Middle | 11% |
| Solar South | 12% |

Table 3-7 Capacity Factor of Solar Profiles

It should be noted that if the capacity factor of actual solar farms turns out to be higher than modelled here, then solar farms may experience higher levels of curtailment and constraint than are predicted by this report.

3.6.2.3 Wind

This section details how wind generation on the island of Ireland is modelled in Plexos.

Wind generation is modelled in the analysis using an hourly wind power series at every transmission node where wind generation is connected. To provide a representative wind series, wind profiles are used. Where area wind profiles are used, the areas are the same as were used for Gate 3.

By using historical wind profiles it is possible to account for the geographical variation of wind power across the island. The wind profiles for the study year for both Ireland and Northern Ireland are created using 2015 wind data. 2015 was a comparatively high wind year.




Figure 3-6 Capacity Factor by Node, grouped by Area, for Existing Wind

Wind Profiles

A different treatment is applied to existing windfarms as to the future windfarms. The existing

windfarms are considered to be the windfarms in the Initial generation scenario.

In so far as is possible, existing windfarms are given wind profile information on a node basis. In other words, each 110 kV station has a different wind profile. These 110 kV node profiles are created from the historical 2015 profile information. The capacity factors of these node profiles are shown in Figure 3-6.

Future windfarms are provided with the 2015 profile of a representative windfarm in the area. For this study, this is referred to as a "sample". A benefit of the different treatment for the future windfarms is that the capacity factors of the input profile are considered to be a better fit. The capacity factor of these sample profiles is provided in Table 3-8.

| Wind Regions | 2015 Capacity Factors for Future Windfarms |
|-------------------------|--|
| Ireland | |
| A | 33% |
| B | 34% |
| C | 35% |
| D | 40% |
| E | 35% |
| F | 36% |
| G | 33% |
| G Offshore | 45% |
| H1 | 34% |
| H2 | 33% |
| I | 36% |
| J | 34% |
| K | 43% |
| Northern Ireland | |
| NI | 31% |

Table 3-8 Capacity factors for Future Wind

Capacity Factor

The overall wind generation capacity factor for Ireland using these sample 2015 wind profiles and for generation scenario All is 34%. This gives a capacity factor that is higher as a system average than has been achieved in recent years. However, this value is probably representative for a wind fleet that will include new technology windfarms and some excellent wind sites and some offshore wind. Hence, it

is believed that it is a suitable 'wind year' to use for an assessment of future curtailment and constraints.

3.6.2.4 Generation Controllability

Traditionally, smaller (and some older) wind farms and solar generators do not have to be controllable. The study methodology takes into account all uncontrollable wind and solar generation and does not include these generators in any output reductions calculations.

Generally, apart from some older windfarms, it is assumed that all wind farms are controllable if their MEC is greater than or equal to 5 MW (for generators which received a connection offer before 2015) or if their MEC is greater than or equal to 1 MW (after 2015). All solar farms with an MEC greater than or equal to 1 MW are assumed to be controllable.

3.6.2.5 Perfect Foresight – Wind Forecast

Building an economic power market model will always require input assumptions. One such assumption is that the climatic year will be from historical data. The use of historical data means that the power market model will create generation commitment and dispatch decisions based on the perfect foresight of wind and solar output. In real-time operation of the power system, this is not the case. There will inevitably be an error between the forecast and the out-turn wind. This can mean that the model may show lower levels of curtailment since with perfect foresight it may choose to de-commit units based on what it knows will happen in. In reality differences and uncertainties in wind or demand forecasts mean that a different schedule of generators may be required than that modelled with perfect foresight.

3.7 System Operation

3.7.1 Safe Operation (Security Constrained N-1)

The basic principle of N-1 security in network planning states that if a component – e.g. a transformer or circuit – should fail or be shut down in a network, then the network security must still be guaranteed. Furthermore, the voltage must remain within the permitted limits and the remaining resources must not be overloaded or must not exceed the short term overload capability of the equipment.

EirGrid operates the Ireland transmission network to be N-1 secure.

3.7.2 Operational Constraint Rules

This section presents the all-island operational constraints, which are used to develop the 'constraint rules' for the Plexos economic dispatch tool. This section outlines the operational rules employed in the constraints modelling. The operational rules cover System Non-Synchronous Penetration (SNSP), operational reserve requirements and minimum synchronous generation levels.

The purpose of this section is to define the set of 'Operational Constraints', and how these constraints may evolve over the proposed study period. Operational constraints are important since they will help

to define system issues that may cause a reduction in renewable generation.

The curtailment is an all-island issue. This report will present the generation curtailment on a jurisdictional and nodal basis. The way in which operational constraint rules change over time is a very important factor when trying to model expected levels of wind curtailment. Operational constraint rules that are said to be 'binding' will tend to turn down renewable generators that are then reported as curtailment.

3.7.2.1 Operational Constraint Rules Post 2020

The DS3 work-stream has been established to enable the connection of enough renewable generation to meet government 2020 targets. The horizon for these studies extends past the year 2020. There are no additional operational constraints modifications assumed since there are no specific studies that can be used to support any additional rule changes.

| Active System Wide Constraints | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Limit | Operational Constraint rule | NI Constraint/Curtailment report |
| Non-Synchronous Generation | There is a requirement to limit the instantaneous penetration of asynchronous generation connected to the All Island system. | The limits vary across the years of the report: 65% (2018), 70% (2019), 75% (2020-2024). |
| Operational Limit For RoCoF | There is a requirement to limit the RoCoF on the All-Island system. | The limits vary across the years of the report: 0.5Hz/Sec (2018-2019), 1Hz/Sec (2020-2024) |
| Operational Limit For Inertia | There is a requirement to have a minimum level of inertia on the All-Island system. | The limits vary across the years of the report: 23,000 MWs (2018-2019), 17,500 MWs (2020-2022) |

Table 3-9 Active System Wide Operational Constraints

3.7.2.2 System-Wide Operational Constraint

There are several operational constraints associated with the DS3 programme. These operational rules make sure that the system operators can run the system within frequency stability limits. Changes to system rules require capital investment to be made, at both TSO and generator levels.

This study uses the operational constraints listed in Table 3-9.

3.7.2.3 System Non-Synchronous Penetration

| Indicative Date | SNSP Limit | Inertia from Conventional Generators |
|----------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|
| | 65% | 23,000 |
| Oct 2019 | 70% | 23,000 |
| Jan 2020 | 70% | 17,500 |
| Apr 2020 | 75% | 17,500 |
| Future Grid scenario | 80% | 17,500 |

Table 3-10 Indicative DS3 Dates for SNSP and Inertia Floor

There is a system need to limit the amount of ‘non-synchronous’ generation at any point in time. The limit makes sure that the power system operates within a stable zone.

A mathematical expression describing the SNSP rule is as follows:

$$\frac{\text{Non Synchronous Generation}}{\text{Total Generation}} \leq \text{SNSP Limit}$$

$$\frac{\text{All Island Wind Generation} + \text{Interconnector Imports}}{\text{All Island Demand} + \text{Interconnector Exports}} \leq \text{SNSP Limit}$$

An increase in the SNSP limit will allow more ‘non-synchronous’ generation to be accepted onto the system. The DS3 work stream is responsible for determining the SNSP outlook. The timeline for increases in SNSP is shown in Table 3-10.

3.7.2.4 Inertia Floor

The current operational limit for the system inertia floor is 23,000 MWs. In 2019, a trial will take place on the system to decrease the inertia floor to 20,000 MWs. This will allow the power system to operate with less synchronous machines online for frequency stability.

The DS3 programme predicts that the system inertia floor will reduce to 17,500 MWs in 2020.

| Operational Reserve Requirements | |
|--|--|
| Limit | Operational Constraint rule |
| <p><u>Primary Reserve</u></p> <p>All Island - 75% of the Largest In-Feed</p> <p>A Minimum of 115MW</p> <p>Ireland Min – 110/75</p> <p>NI Min – 50</p> | <p>All Island primary reserve must be 75% of the largest infeed with jurisdictional limits of</p> <p>Jurisdictional Requirement</p> <p>Ireland At night 75MW / Daytime 110 MW</p> <p>Northern Ireland 50 MW</p> |
| <p><u>Negative Reserve</u></p> <p>Ireland Min – 100 MW</p> <p>NI Min – 50 MW</p> | <p>Negative reserve must be held on the system.</p> <p>When a Generators output is above its minimum generation threshold, it is said to carry negative reserve. There will only be a cost associated when lower cost generation is curtailed to allow ramping of generation above its minimum generation level to cover the negative reserve service</p> <p>Ireland Requirement 100 MW Northern Ireland Requirement 50 MW</p> |

Table 3-11 Active System Wide Operational Constraints

3.7.2.5 Operational Reserve

Operating reserve is surplus operating capacity that can instantly respond to a sudden increase in the electric load or a sudden decrease in the renewable power output. Operating reserve provides a safety margin that helps ensure reliable electricity supply despite variability in the electric load and the renewable power supply. The operating reserve is equal to the operating capacity minus the electric load. To provide reserve, some generators are part-loaded i.e. are operated below their maximum output capacity to provide a quick-acting source of reserve.

The working assumption is that enough Primary Operating Reserve (POR) will be provided to cover 75% of the loss of the largest infeed. The largest infeed is either the largest generation infeed or if larger, the import from an interconnector. In practice, this varies in proportion to the largest infeed by output. The model also includes Secondary Operating Reserve (SOR) and Tertiary Operating Reserve (TOR).

Operating Reserve Assumptions

The total All Island reserve requirement is assumed to be equal to 75% of the capacity of the largest unit on the system.

It is assumed that wind is not curtailed to provide reserve or governing.

A total static reserve figure of 100 MW is assumed to be provided by the Moyle and East-West Interconnectors.

It is assumed that in pumping mode, pumped storage units provide reserve equal to 100% of their MW pumping value.

Table 3-12 Reserve Assumptions Employed

Minimum Conventional Generation Assumptions

Ireland

Ensure that at least two large thermal units in the Dublin region are synchronised at all times.

Ensure at least 5 large units are synchronised at all times (proxy for inertia constraints).

Thermal Priority Dispatch units as set out in **Table 3-3**.

Assume that at least 3 pump sets are on during the night

Northern Ireland

A minimum of 3 conventional units must be synchronised at all times.

Table 3-13 Summary of Minimum Generation Requirements

Negative Operating Reserve is also modelled. This keeps some conventional generators above their minimum generation level and allows these generators reduce their output in response to a high frequency event.

3.7.2.6 Minimum Number of Synchronous Generators at any time

There is a requirement to have a minimum number of conventional generators synchronised at all times to provide inertia to the power system, ensure voltage stability and to ensure that network limitations (line loading and system voltages) are respected.

Changes to the rules are guided by operational and/or planning assumptions.

Table 3-13 details the assumptions employed with respect to the minimum conventional generation requirements for the constraints modelling.

| Operational Constraints for Ireland | |
|---|--|
| Limit | Operational Constraint rule |
| <u>System Stability:</u> 5 Units from AD2, DB1, HNC, HN2, MP1, MP2, MP3, PBC, TB3, TB4, TYC, WG1 | There are a minimum number of high-inertia machines that must be on-load at all times in Ireland. Five of these units are required to be on line for dynamic stability. |
| <u>Dublin Generation :</u> 2 Units from DB1, HNC, HN2, PBC | There are a minimum number of large generators that must be on-load at all times in the Dublin area. Required for voltage control. This assumes EWIC is operational |
| <u>Dublin North Gen:</u> 1 Unit from PBC, HNC, HN2 | Requirement for generation in North/South Dublin (for load flow and voltage control). |
| <u>Dublin South Gen:</u> 1 Unit from PBC, DB1 | |
| <u>Southwest Gen :</u> 2 by night 3 by day | There must be at least 2/3 generators on-load at all times in the South West area. Required for voltage stability. AD2, AT11, AT12, AT14, SK3, SK4, WG1 |

Table 3-14 Ireland Must Run Rules

3.8 DS3 Programme

In response to binding national and European targets, EirGrid Group began a multi-year programme, "Delivering a Secure, Sustainable Electricity System" (DS3).

The aim of the DS3 programme is to meet Ireland's 2020 electricity targets and beyond by increasing the amount of renewable energy on the Irish power system in a safe and secure manner.

Synchronous v non-synchronous generation

There are two types of electricity generation: synchronous generation and non-synchronous generation. Synchronous generation produces the same amount of electricity all the time. It is reliable and predictable and, therefore, easy to bring onto the grid. Fossil fuels such as coal, oil and gas are a type of synchronous generation.

Non-synchronous generation produces a variable amount of electricity depending on the renewable energy source. It does not produce the same amount of electricity all of the time. This makes it less reliable, and more difficult to bring onto the grid. Most renewable forms of energy, such as wind and solar, are types of non-synchronous generation. This is because the amount of wind and solar radiation is always changing and therefore they cannot produce power predictably.

Meeting renewable electricity targets

The 2020 renewable electricity target and future targets mean that we will have to increase the amount of non-synchronous generation on the Irish power system in a safe and secure manner. The aim of the DS3 Programme is to meet this challenge.

So far the DS3 programme has enabled EirGrid to increase levels of renewable generation on the system from 50% to 65%. This is a world-first. We aim to increase this gradually to 75% over the coming years.

The DS3 programme assumptions in this study are included in Table 3-10.

3.9 SEM Constraint Groups

SEM Constraint Groups were proposed in SEM-13-012 and "Proposed Constraint Groups arising from SEM-11-105". There is one active SEM Constraint Group in Ireland, one future group in Ireland, and none in Northern Ireland.

The Donegal Constraint Group is presently active. In 2015, after the delivery of a number of transmission reinforcements, both the membership and the boundary of the Donegal Constraint Group were reduced. This SEM Constraint Group is now effective only for the transmission constraint at the group boundary and even that is mitigated by the introduction of a Special Protection Scheme at Clogher.

With the Clogher SPS included in the Constraint studies, the transmission constraint that invokes the Donegal Constraint Group binds very rarely in the simulations. The simulations are dominated by transmission constraints that overlap with other areas and which are not being driven by the members of the Donegal Constraint Group. Hence, the Donegal Constraint Group is not included in this study.

The Southwest Constraint Group is not presently active and is not modelled.

4 Study Methodology

4.1 Introduction

This section provides an overview of the modelling methodology employed to determine the likely curtailment and constraint levels for generators in this study.

The methodology of production cost modelling is utilised to conduct the studies for this report. This section includes a detailed description of production cost modelling is presented and an overview of Plexos, the modelling tool employed, is also provided. In addition, there is a description of curtailment and constraint modelling,

4.2 Production Cost Modelling

In general terms, production cost models utilise optimisation algorithms with the objective of minimising the cost of generating power to meet demand in a region while satisfying operational, security and environmental constraints. A production cost model minimises the combined fuel cost, CO₂ cost, and variable operation and maintenance cost. Wind-powered generation has essentially zero production cost but is a variable energy source. Hydro generation also has zero cost but is energy limited. Chronological production cost models optimise generator commitment and dispatch scheduling for every hour of a study period (typically one-year duration).

Production cost models require:

- Specification of individual generator capabilities including capacity, start-up energy, annual forced outage rate, annual scheduled outage duration, reserve provision capabilities, emission rates and heat rates (fuel input requirement per unit output generation).
- Specification of the hourly demand profile for the region.
- Specification of the fuel price for each type of fuel.
- Specification of the transmission network (required for studies where transmission constraint information is the desired output).
- Specification of contingencies.
- System security constraints such as the requirement for reserve.
- Generator operational constraints such as maximum and minimum operational levels, ramp rates, minimum runtimes and downtimes etc.
- Environmental considerations such as the cost of CO₂.

The production cost modelling tool employed in this study is Plexos.

4.3 The Software: Plexos Integrated Energy Model

Plexos is a detailed generation and transmission analysis program that has been widely used in the electricity industry for many years. EirGrid has extensive experience in using this simulation tool to model the Irish power system. Plexos is supplied and supported by Energy Exemplar and is continually being upgraded and improved. It is a production cost modelling simulation program, used to determine power system performance and cost. It is a complex and very powerful tool for power system analysis, with separate commitment and dispatch algorithms.

Commitment and Dispatch

The commitment process refers to the selection of a number of generators, from the total generation portfolio, which is to be used to meet customer demand. The decision as to when these generators should come on or off-line is also part of the commitment process. So, for example, additional generation is committed on Monday mornings in order to meet higher weekday (than weekend) demand.

The dispatch process refers to the decisions taken on the loading of individual generation units. Thus the contribution from each online, or committed, unit towards meeting customer demand is determined by the dispatch decision.

Generator, Demand and Network

Full technical performance characteristics and operational cost details of each generation unit on the system is specified. An hourly system demand profile is also required. In this case, the transmission system is also modelled in detail.

The program output provides complete details of the operation of each generation unit. These are aggregated into system totals. Flows on transmission lines can be monitored and potential constraints on the system can be identified. A wide range of output reports is available, from system summaries to hour by hour information on individual generators.

DC Loadflow

Plexos is a DC loadflow simulation tool. Therefore, it only models real power flows and does not consider voltage. Transmission plant and line ratings are MVA rated and ratings vary with voltage. For the purposes of modelling the DC load flow MW ratings for the circuits, the model assumes a conversion factor of 0.9.

The conversion factor allows the necessary spare capacity for reactive power on the circuits and it allows for post-contingency low voltage. This 0.9 conversion factor gives a good performance for a wide range of pre-contingency and post-contingency conditions.

The model, as constructed, does not account for losses.

4.4 System Model

For this study, the system is modelled at generator level i.e. every single conventional generator is modelled in detail. Characteristics such as heat rates, ramp rates, minimum runtime and downtime, start-up energy, reserve provision capabilities, annual forced outage rate, annual scheduled outage duration and emission rates of each individual generator are specified.

Solar and wind-powered generators are modelled at 110 kV node level. In other words, if several windfarms are fed from a 110 kV node, the model represents them as a single windfarm at that node. The same is true for solar farms. These generators use hourly generation profiles series. More detail on the modelling of solar and wind-powered generation is provided in Section 3.6.2.

Ireland and Northern Ireland are treated as a single dispatch system in the production cost model for the purposes of producing an optimal minimum cost commitment and dispatch. Generators are dispatched based on their short-run marginal costs (which include the costs of fuel and CO₂ emissions) and in accordance with the dispatch assumptions outlined below.

4.5 Software Determination of Curtailment and Constraint

For this report, the wind and solar generators are assumed to be Grid Code compliant and that controllable wind and solar generators can be instructed to reduce their output if required.

The Plexos model is used to calculate curtailment and constraint. A number of supplemental studies are also needed to properly apportion constraints.

In the simulation, generators are committed and dispatched in the most economical manner while satisfying operational and security constraints such as limitations on the instantaneous wind penetration, operating reserve requirements, the requirement for a minimum number of synchronised conventional generators as well as the limitations of the transmission network.

The simulation is a security constrained N-1 study. This means that the network flows are constantly monitored to be safe against the possible loss of any item of transmission equipment.

The total reduction in wind energy for each wind generator is calculated by comparing the wind energy output from the simulation with the available wind energy.

4.6 Apportioning of Curtailment and Constraint

Constraint

When a transmission constraint occurs, Plexos will attempt to alleviate the constraint in the most cost-effective manner.

If a transmission constraint causes wind or solar generation to be constrained down, Plexos' internal dispatch logic may choose one generator to constrain down out of several that have the same flow impact on the constraint (due to the fact that, in the constraints model, all these renewable generators are modelled with the same cost of production).

This report studies the connection of very large amounts of generation to the transmission network in the years 2020 to 2022. As such, there are some areas where the levels of transmission constraints are both large and frequent. There are also areas where there are, at times, several overlapping transmission constraints. This makes it more difficult to apportion curtailment and constraints to individual nodes.

Post-processing of the results is required. This ensures that generators, which have a similar impact on the constraint, will share proportionally in the effect of the constraint in the study results.

Curtailment

At hours when it is necessary to curtail wind and solar generation output, a decision has to be made as to which should have their output reduced. It is assumed in this study that, where possible, all controllable wind and solar generators share the reduction in output energy arising from curtailment in proportion to their available energy in that hour i.e. on a pro-rata basis.

5 Results Overview for Area F

5.1 Introduction

This chapter provides the curtailment and constraint results that are predicted for sixteen study scenarios. These are one future grid scenario and fifteen studies (the three study years [2020, 2021 and 2022] by five relevant generation scenarios [Initial, South, 33%, 66% and All]).

An overview and discussion of the results is provided in this chapter. The curtailment and constraint results for each node are provided in Appendix C.

| Area | Node | SO | Status | Solar | Wind |
|----------|-------------|-----|----------------|-------|------|
| f | Ballylickey | DSO | Initial | | 54 |
| f | Ballylickey | DSO | Initial | | 6 |
| f | Bandon | DSO | ECP-1 Offer | 20 | |
| f | Bandon | DSO | Initial | | 9 |
| f | Bandon | DSO | Initial | | 5 |
| f | Dunmanway | DSO | ECP-1 Offer | | 24 |
| f | Dunmanway | DSO | Existing Offer | | 6 |
| f | Dunmanway | DSO | Existing Offer | | 5 |
| f | Dunmanway | DSO | Initial | | 37 |
| f | Dunmanway | DSO | Initial | | 13 |
| f | Dunmanway | TSO | ECP-1 Offer | | 8 |
| f | Dunmanway | TSO | Existing Offer | | 60 |
| f | Macroom | DSO | Existing Offer | 4 | |
| f | Macroom | DSO | Initial | | 24 |
| Subtotal | | | | 24 | 250 |

Table 5-1 Generation Summary

5.2 Network Overview and Generation

Area F is in the south of the country and there is a mix of existing wind, future wind and future solar locating here. A summary of the generation in Area F is provided in Table 5-1.

The transmission network in Area F is shown in Figure 5-1. The 220 kV circuits are shown in green and the 110 kV circuits in black. Possible future transmission stations for new generation are shown in blue. The future 110 kV station, shown as Barnadivane, is also referred to as Carrickdangan.




Figure 5-1 Area F

2020, 2021 and 2022

In most study years, there is a tendency for renewable power to flow toward the demand at Dublin and toward the interconnector at EWIC. These flow patterns are relevant when seeking to understand constraint apportionment in the simulation.

There is sometimes a tendency within Area F for some 110 kV nodes to see higher constraints than others. The model is demonstrating that, on occasion, it is more efficient to constrain generation at some locations than at others.

For this report, constraints in the model are optimised on a system basis. This means that the constraints in the area are caused both by local and by wider system considerations. So, in theory, an increase in the installed generation in another area could increase constraints in Area F.

In addition to the power flows out of Area F, there are also power flows across or through Area F. Renewable power from Kerry and West Cork will flow east across the transmission network, and at least some of this power will flow through Area F.

Also, the power flowing out of Area F meets and joins with power flows from other areas, as the power flows out of the south west.

Future Grid

The Future Grid study has two additional interconnectors modelled; Celtic and Greenlink. This changes things and at times of high renewable power, there tends to be power flows towards the interconnectors, which are modelled at Knockraha and Great Island respectively.

The predicted curtailment and constraints for Area F are much lower in the Future Grid study than in the 2020, 2021 and 2022 studies. This is largely because of the two additional interconnectors which, as modelled, will address both curtailment and constraint for Area F. This study also benefits significantly from several uprates, series compensations and new circuits.

Study Scenarios

There are sixteen study scenarios presented in this report. There is one future grid scenario. The next fifteen are combinations of three study years (2020, 2021 and 2022) and five generation scenarios (Initial, South, 33%, 66% and All).

Generation Scenario Initial is the generation that is expected to be in place by 2020. However, it is assumed that there is no large scale solar generation in Ireland in Initial.

Generation Scenario South takes Generation Scenario Initial and adds all the other generators in the south who will have connection offers up to ECP-1. The difference between South and Initial is that all the generators in Area D, Area E, Area H1, Area H2, Area K, Area I and Area F are in Generation Scenario South.

The 33% scenario is 33% of the way between the Initial scenario and the All scenario.

The 66% scenario is 66% of the way between the Initial scenario and the All scenario.

Finally, Generation Scenario All contains all the generators up to ECP-1 who will have connection offers.

Curtailment

In this report, in each hour of the study, the curtailment is shared pro-rata on a system wide basis. This means that both curtailment reductions (as demand increases, as interconnection increases, or with the DS3 programme) and curtailment increases (when new generators connect) are shared system-wide.

Solar sees different reported levels of curtailment than wind. This is because the generator types have different capacity factors and they generate at different times.

The forecast curtailment is broadly constant within each area. To be precise, curtailment is calculated as an average for each subgroup within an area. There can be small variations in curtailment within an area, due to the use of node wind profiles. Comparing between areas, if there is a large quantity of wind generation in a given area, then that area can see relatively high curtailment.

In the real world and within Area H1, a windfarm may have a better site, better turbines, etc., and may have a better capacity factor than neighbouring windfarms. This report doesn't differentiate on this, but a windfarm with a higher capacity factor will probably see less curtailment than an adjacent windfarm with lower capacity factor. This is because at times of medium or low wind, the high capacity windfarm has power when the low capacity windfarm doesn't.

5.3 Area F – Average Results

| SOLAR | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------|-----|-----|-----|
| | Study Year | Generation Scenario | | | | |
| | | Initial | South | 33% | 66% | All |
| Installed Ireland (GW) | | 0 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 2.5 |
| Installed Area F (MW) | | 0 | 24 | 8 | 16 | 24 |
| Installed Controllable Area F (MW) | | 0 | 24 | 8 | 16 | 24 |
| Available Controllable Area F (GWh) | | 0 | 26 | 9 | 17 | 26 |
| Curtailment (GWh) | | | | | | |
| | 2020 | | 1 | <1 | 1 | 2 |
| | 2021 | | <1 | <1 | 1 | 2 |
| | 2022 | | <1 | <1 | 1 | 2 |
| Constraint (GWh) | | | | | | |
| | 2020 | | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 |
| | 2021 | | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 |
| | 2022 | | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 |
| Curtailment and Constraint (GWh) | | | | | | |
| | 2020 | | 1 | <1 | 1 | 2 |
| | 2021 | | 1 | <1 | 1 | 2 |
| | 2022 | | <1 | <1 | 1 | 2 |
| Curtailment % | | | | | | |
| | 2020 | | 2% | 2% | 5% | 9% |
| | 2021 | | 2% | 2% | 4% | 8% |
| | 2022 | | 1% | 1% | 3% | 7% |
| Constraint % | | | | | | |
| | 2020 | | <1% | <1% | <1% | <1% |
| | 2021 | | <1% | <1% | <1% | <1% |
| | 2022 | | <1% | <1% | <1% | <1% |
| Curtailment and Constraint % | | | | | | |
| | 2020 | | 3% | 2% | 6% | 10% |
| | 2021 | | 2% | 2% | 5% | 9% |
| | 2022 | | 1% | 1% | 3% | 7% |
| | Future Grid | | | | | 2% |

Table 5-2 Average Results for Area F - Solar

| WIND | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------|-----|-----|-----|
| | Study Year | Generation Scenario | | | | |
| | | Initial | South | 33% | 66% | All |
| Installed Ireland (GW) | | 4.2 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 6.1 |
| Installed Area F (MW) | | 148 | 251 | 182 | 217 | 251 |
| Installed Controllable Area F (MW) | | 117 | 215 | 150 | 182 | 215 |
| Available Controllable Area F (GWh) | | 374 | 683 | 477 | 580 | 683 |
| Curtailment (GWh) | | | | | | |
| | 2020 | 9 | 37 | 26 | 56 | 97 |
| | 2021 | 7 | 29 | 21 | 47 | 85 |
| | 2022 | 4 | 19 | 14 | 35 | 68 |
| Constraint (GWh) | | | | | | |
| | 2020 | 2 | 26 | 5 | 9 | 14 |
| | 2021 | 3 | 21 | 4 | 6 | 10 |
| | 2022 | 1 | 18 | 3 | 6 | 10 |
| Curtailment and Constraint (GWh) | | | | | | |
| | 2020 | 12 | 62 | 32 | 65 | 112 |
| | 2021 | 10 | 49 | 25 | 53 | 95 |
| | 2022 | 5 | 37 | 17 | 40 | 78 |
| Curtailment % | | | | | | |
| | 2020 | 3% | 5% | 6% | 10% | 14% |
| | 2021 | 2% | 4% | 4% | 8% | 12% |
| | 2022 | 1% | 3% | 3% | 6% | 10% |
| Constraint % | | | | | | |
| | 2020 | 1% | 4% | 1% | 2% | 2% |
| | 2021 | 1% | 3% | 1% | 1% | 1% |
| | 2022 | <1 % | 3% | 1% | 1% | 1% |
| Curtailment and Constraint % | | | | | | |
| | 2020 | 3% | 9% | 7% | 11% | 16% |
| | 2021 | 3% | 7% | 5% | 9% | 14% |
| | 2022 | 1% | 5% | 3% | 7% | 11% |
| | Future Grid | | | | | 2% |

Table 5-3 Average Results for Area F – Wind

The average Area F results are shown in Table 5-2 for solar and in Table 5-3 for wind. These tables provide the Area F average curtailment and constraint results for the study scenarios. The information is treated separately for solar and for wind. As a simplification, this study assumes that there is no solar in the Initial Scenario.

As shown, information is provided on the Installed Capacity (GW), and the various energy numbers (TWh) from Available Energy through Generation and onto the Curtailment and Constraint. The

curtailment and constraint are also presented in percentage form.

By cross referencing the column subheadings (Generator Scenarios) and the row subheadings (study year), the information for each study scenario can be identified.

Installed Generation (Ireland)

For Ireland, there is no solar assumed in Generation Scenario Initial. The installed solar in Ireland in Generation Scenario South is 1.1 GW (all in the south) and in Generation Scenario All is 2.5 GW.

The installed wind is 4.2 GW in Generation Scenario Initial and this increases to 4.9 GW in Generation Scenario South and to 6.1 GW in Generation Scenario All. The 33% and 66% scenarios have 4.8 GW of wind and 5.5 GW of wind respectively.

Installed Generation (Area F)

There is no solar in Area F assumed in Generation Scenario Initial and this increases to 24 MW in scenario South and scenario All. Generation Scenario 33% has 8 MW of solar in Area F and Generation Scenario 66% has 16 MW.

The controllable wind in Area F increases from 117 MW in Generation Scenario Initial to 215 MW in Generation Scenario South and Generation Scenario All. Generation Scenario 33% has 150 MW of controllable wind in Area F and Generation Scenario 66% has 182 MW.

Area F - Average Results

From the above tables, there is no solar in Generation Scenario Initial. For scenario Initial in 2020, the predicted curtailment and constraint for wind is 3%. If it was possible to develop and connect "All Offers" by 2022, then for Area F, then the curtailment and constraint would increase to 7% for solar and 11% for wind.




Figure 5-2 Average Curtailment and Constraint Area F Solar

For solar in Area F, the curtailment and constraint results are shown in Figure 5-2. For this study, it is assumed that there is no solar in generation scenario Initial.

The x-axis of the above graph shows the available energy from solar generation in Area F. For Area F, there is 24 MW (26 GWh) of solar in the model for "South" and for "All". For the generation scenarios "33%" and "66%", these values are 8 MW (9 GWh) and 16 MW (17 GWh) respectively.

In the diagram, the blue squares are for Generation Scenario South. In 2020, solar in Area F would hypothetically see 3% average curtailment and constraint. With the generation remaining unchanged in the model, this reduces to 2% in 2021 and to 1% in 2022.

The red circles are for the study with Generation Scenario All. With this generation, solar in Area F would hypothetically see 10% average curtailment and constraint in 2020. With the generation remaining unchanged in the model, this reduces to 9% in 2021 and to 7% in 2022.

Scenario 33% and Scenario 66% are shown as the green triangles and purple circles respectively.




Figure 5-3 Average Curtailment and Constraint Area F Wind

For wind in Area F, the combined curtailment and constraint average results are shown in Figure 5-3. The diagram shows the combined curtailment and constraint seen by wind for fifteen scenarios.

The x-axis shows the available energy from controllable wind generation in Area F. For Area F, there is an additional 98 MW (309 GWh) of controllable wind in the model between the initial and all scenarios.

In the diagram, the diamonds are for Generation Scenario Initial. With this generation in the study year 2020, wind in Area F is forecast to see 3% curtailment and constraint on average. If the generation remained unchanged, this would remain at 3% in 2021 and reduce to 1% in 2022. These reductions are due to forecast demand increases, DS3 improvements, interconnection capacity increases and forecast delivery of transmission reinforcements.

The blue squares are for Generation Scenario South. These show the forecast average curtailment and constraint for wind when all contracted generation in the south is introduced to the model. With this, wind would hypothetically see 9% in the study year 2020. With the generation remaining unchanged in the model, this will reduce to 7% in 2021 and to 5% in 2022.

The red circles are for Generation Scenario All. With this generation in study year 2020, wind in Area F would hypothetically see 16% average curtailment and constraint. With the generation remaining unchanged in the model, this reduces to 14% in 2021 and to 11% in 2022.

Scenario 33% and Scenario 66% are shown as the green triangles and purple circles respectively.

Forecast share between Curtailment and Constraint

Figure 5-4 shows the breakdown between curtailment and constraint for the study scenarios. The top diagram is for solar and the lower is for wind. For both solar and wind in Area F, the dispatch down is a mix of curtailment and constraint. Generally, curtailment is the larger part.




Figure 5-4 Average Curtailment and Constraint in Area F

5.4 Subgroups

For Area F, the solar results are averaged over the area whereas the wind results are averaged for the subgroups shown in Table 5-4.

In other words, the raw results from Plexos for the solar were very similar, so this report assumes that they see the same constraints. For the wind though, in some scenarios, the Plexos results showed some differences for the different locations and, on investigation, the following subgroups are used.

| Type | Subgroup in Future Grid Scenario | Generation Node |
|-------|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| solar | All | Bandon |
| | | Macroom |
| | | |
| wind | Ballylickey and Dunmanway | Ballylickey |
| | | Dunmanway |
| | | |
| | Bandon | Bandon |
| | Macroom | Macroom |

Table 5-4 Subgroups for Future Grid scenario

For this report, the apportioning of the constraints within each subgroup is assumed to be pro-rata sharing. Subgroups that experience higher constraints are due to their geographical location on the transmission system where bottlenecks or low line ratings are a limiting factor.

A comparison of the results by subgroups is provided in Table 5-5. These values are the combined curtailment and constraint for each subgroup in each study.

5.5 Future Grid

While preparing for this report, the wind and solar developers requested the inclusion of a study including a number of projects beyond the time horizon of this report. For this reason, a Future Grid scenario is performed which includes a variety of projects that are well beyond the timeframe of this report. The study uses Generation Scenario All and takes the 2022 year as a starting point before adding additional reinforcements, interconnectors and future DS3 assumptions etc.

This study is not intended as an indication to individual generators that their curtailment and constraints will reduce to the indicated levels. Rather, it is a signal of the types and variety of projects which would be required to alter curtailment and constraints for the Generation portfolio in this report. In addition, this study is not intended to be exhaustive and it is not intended to remove all transmission constraints. The reinforcements used, in addition to those in study year 2022, are listed in Appendix A.

The reference case and the Future Grid scenario have the same generation and have the same demand so the difference between the two curves is due to the added projects.

The study finds that the cumulative impact of these projects is a noticeable reduction in the curtailment and constraint for Area F. It should be noted that this Future Grid scenario has not studied each project individually, so it is not possible to comment on the individual impact of each project.

| Gen Type | Gen Scenario | Study Year | Ballylickey - Dunmanway | Bandon | Macroom |
|----------|--------------|-------------|-------------------------|--------|---------|
| Solar | South | 2020 | | 3% | 3% |
| | | 2021 | | 2% | 2% |
| | | 2022 | | 1% | 1% |
| Solar | 33% | 2020 | | 2% | 2% |
| | | 2021 | | 2% | 2% |
| | | 2022 | | 1% | 1% |
| Solar | 66% | 2020 | | 6% | 6% |
| | | 2021 | | 5% | 5% |
| | | 2022 | | 3% | 3% |
| Solar | All | 2020 | | 10% | 10% |
| | | 2021 | | 9% | 9% |
| | | 2022 | | 7% | 7% |
| | | Future Grid | | 2% | 2% |
| Wind | Initial | 2020 | 3% | 3% | 3% |
| | | 2021 | 3% | 3% | 3% |
| | | 2022 | 1% | 1% | 1% |
| Wind | South | 2020 | 10% | 7% | 6% |
| | | 2021 | 8% | 6% | 5% |
| | | 2022 | 6% | 4% | 3% |
| Wind | 33% | 2020 | 7% | 7% | 7% |
| | | 2021 | 5% | 5% | 5% |
| | | 2022 | 3% | 3% | 3% |
| Wind | 66% | 2020 | 11% | 11% | 10% |
| | | 2021 | 9% | 10% | 8% |
| | | 2022 | 7% | 7% | 6% |
| Wind | All | 2020 | 16% | 16% | 14% |
| | | 2021 | 14% | 14% | 13% |
| | | 2022 | 12% | 11% | 10% |
| | | Future Grid | 2% | 2% | 2% |

Table 5-5 Curtailment and Constraint by Subgroup in Area F

5.6 Summary – Results for Area F

This chapter provides an overview of the curtailment and constraint forecast values for Area F for a range of scenarios based on a number of installed generation assumptions (generation scenarios) and on the study year (network and demand assumptions). The results depend on the study assumptions which are described in this report.

Appendix C contains the detailed results consisting of energy (GWh) and percentage curtailment and constraint values for each node for both solar and wind in Area F.

[this page deliberately left blank]

[this page deliberately left blank]

Appendix A Network Reinforcement

| Project Type | Project |
|---------------|---|
| | |
| | Included in Model from 2020 |
| | |
| DS3 Programme | SNSP 75% 65% at present. |
| DS3 Programme | Inertia 17500 23000 at present |
| | |
| New Build | Belcamp 220 kV station |
| New Build | Castlebaggot 220 kV station |
| New Build | Clashavoon Dunmanway 110 |
| New Build | Clashavoon Macroom 110 circuit 2 |
| New Build | Mount Lucas – Thornsberry 110 |
| New Build | Loop Kilpaddoge into Tarbert Tralee 110 circuit 1 |
| | |
| Uprate | Dunstown T4202 |
| Uprate | Clashavoon T2101 |
| Uprate | Great Island – Wexford 110 |
| Uprate | Corduff Ryebrook 110 |
| | |
| Station | Thornsberry busbar uprate |
| | |

Table A-0-1 Reinforcements for 2020

| Project Type | Project |
|---------------------------|--|
| | |
| | Included in Model from 2021 |
| | |
| New Build | Kilpaddoge Knockanure 220 circuit 2 |
| New Build | Belcamp Shellybanks 220 |
| New Build | Loop Kilpaddoge into Killonan Tarbert 220 |
| | |
| Uprate | Ballynahulla Knockanure 220 |
| Uprate | Ballynahulla Ballyvouskil 220 |
| Uprate | Binbane – Cathaleen’s Fall 110 |
| Uprate | Bellacorick Moy 110 |
| Uprate | Corderry Srananagh 110 |
| Uprate | Laois Portlaoise 110 |
| Uprate | Ardnacrusha Singland 110 uprate in Ardnacrusha station |
| Uprate | Arklow Ballybeg 110 <i>uprate in Arklow station</i> |
| Uprate | Cloon Lanesboro 110 <i>restore rating on this circuit.</i> |
| Uprate | Flagford Louth 220 <i>restore rating on this circuit</i> |
| | |
| Station | Castlebar busbar uprate |
| Station | Moy busbar uprate |
| Station | Wexford busbar uprate |
| | |
| Reactive Support | Thurles STATCOM |
| | |
| Special Protection Scheme | Galway temporary SPS until new Galway 110 station |

Table A-0-2 Additional Reinforcements for 2021

| Project Type | Project |
|--------------|---|
| | |
| | Included in Model from 2022 |
| | |
| New Build | Laois 400 kV station (Coolnabacky) |
| New Build | Ballyragget Kilkenny 110 |
| New Build | Ballyragget Laois 110 |
| | |
| Uprate | Arva – Carrick on Shannon 110 |
| Uprate | Great Island – Kilkenny 110 |
| Uprate | Derryiron Maynooth 110 In Maynooth station, the Derryiron dropper. |
| | |
| Station | Knockraha station reconfiguration and short circuit mitigation |
| Station | Kilkenny busbar uprate |

Table A-0-3 Additional Reinforcements for 2022

A.1 Projects in Future Grid Scenario with Additional Projects Well Beyond the Study Timeframe

| Project Type | Project |
|---------------------|---|
| | |
| | Included in Future Grid scenario |
| | |
| Demand | 2022 Demand used for this study |
| | |
| DS3 Programme | Reserve provided from alternative sources (batteries, etc.) |
| DS3 Programme | SNSP 80% |
| DS3 Programme | Large Generator Must Run (4 in Ireland, 2 in Northern Ireland) Before this (5 in Ireland, 3 in Northern Ireland) |
| | |
| New Build | North-South 400 kV interconnector |
| New Build | North Connaught |
| New Build | New 110 kV station at Galway |
| New Build | Project 966 For this study, modelled as a Dunstown Woodland 400 kV circuit. |
| | |
| Interconnector | Celtic 700 MW to France |
| Interconnector | Moyle export capability of 500 MW |
| Interconnector | Greenlink 500 MW to Britain |
| | |
| Series Compensation | Dunstown Laois 400 |
| Series Compensation | Moneypoint Laois 400 |
| Series Compensation | Oldstreet Woodland 400 |
| | |
| Uprate | Flagford Sligo 110 |
| Uprate | Lanesboro – Sliabh Bawn 110 |
| Uprate | Gorman Platin 110 |
| | |
| Uprate | Lisheen Thurles 110 |
| Uprate | Dunmanway Bandon 110 |
| Uprate | Bandon Raffeen 110 |
| Station | Bandon busbar uprate |

| Project Type | Project |
|------------------|----------------------------------|
| | |
| Station | Maynooth station reconfiguration |
| Station | Letterkenny busbar uprate |
| Station | Lanesboro busbar uprate |
| | |
| Reactive Support | Ballynahulla STATCOM |
| Reactive Support | Ballyvouskil STATCOM |
| Reactive Support | Knockanure reactor |
| | |
| Uprate | Arklow Ballybeg 110 |
| Uprate | Ballybeg Carrickmines 110 |
| Uprate | Aghada Knockraha 220 circuit 1 |
| Uprate | Aghada Knockraha 220 circuit 2 |
| Uprate | Athlone Shannonbridge 110 |
| Uprate | Gorman Maynooth 220 |
| Uprate | Maynooth – Blake T |
| Uprate | Maynooth Woodland 220 |

Table A-0-4 Additional Reinforcements for Future Grid scenario

There are projects beyond the time frame for this study that will reduce curtailment and constraints. The more significant of these projects are already being progressed (DS3 Programme, Celtic Interconnector, North South Interconnector, Series Compensation of 400 kV network, new Galway 110 kV station, North Connaught, etc.) and others are in preparation. Information about approved reinforcements is available on the EirGrid website.

For the Future Grid scenario, there are three types of project included for a future well beyond the timeframe of this report. These include DS3 projects, interconnection and transmission reinforcement.

SNSP, Conventional Must Run, Etc.

For this study, SNSP was increased to 80%, it is assumed that reserve was provided from alternative sources the number of must run large conventional units was reduced. These modelling assumptions go beyond the present programme for DS3.

Interconnection

There are three interconnector projects assumed. The first is that the export capacity of Moyle would increase to 500 MW. The second is an assumption of a Celtic interconnector to France and the third is the assumption of a Greenlink interconnector to Britain.

Transmission Reinforcement

There are twenty nine extra transmission reinforcements included in the Future Grid scenario compared with the 2022 Year. The study uses a mix of approved projects (albeit due beyond 2022) and a limited number of other projects which, from the constraint analysis only, appear to warrant inclusion.

As a simplification for the modelling in this scenario only, it is assumed that where a 110 kV circuit rating is the constraint, that the project to resolve it is to uprate the existing circuit. The uprate of a 110 kV circuit is frequently a major and expensive undertaking and these projects may not be implemented in this way. Customers should recognise that the reinforcements listed will be subject to a full economic analysis and optimisation process before a decision is made to proceed with them.

Also, this Future Grid scenario is not intended to be a plan to identify all the reinforcements necessary to solve all the transmission constraints in an area. Consequently, even with the reinforcements selected, there are still some new transmission constraints and these are visible in the findings.

Appendix B Generator

Generator information is in this Appendix as follows.

- Overview by Type
- Generator Type by Node for All Offers
- Generator List by Name
- Generation Type by Node for each Study Scenario

B.1 Generator Type for each Generation Scenario

| | Initial | north west | south | north east | 33% | 66% | All |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| battery | | 278 | 141 | 210 | 210 | 420 | 629 |
| inertia | | | | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| solar | | 322 | 1148 | 1049 | 831 | 1662 | 2519 |
| new thermal | 20 | 76 | 63 | 68 | 56 | 111 | 167 |
| wave | 10 | 10 | 15 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 15 |
| wind | 4211 | 4889 | 4806 | 4857 | 4844 | 5478 | 6030 |
| Total | 4241 | 5575 | 6173 | 6198 | 5954 | 7688 | 9364 |

B.2 Generator Type by Area for each Generation Scenario

| | Initial | north west | south | north east | 33% | 66% | All |
|----------------|---------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| battery | | 278 | 141 | 210 | 210 | 419 | 629 |
| B | | 15 | | | 5 | 10 | 15 |
| C | | 263 | | | 88 | 175 | 263 |
| E | | | 46 | | 15 | 31 | 46 |
| G | | | | 143 | 48 | 95 | 143 |
| H2 | | | 95 | | 32 | 63 | 95 |
| J | | | | 67 | 22 | 45 | 67 |
| inertia | | | | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| J | | | | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| solar | | 322 | 1148 | 1049 | 831 | 1662 | 2519 |
| A | | 40 | | | 13 | 26 | 40 |
| B | | 67 | | | 22 | 44 | 67 |
| C | | 215 | | | 71 | 142 | 215 |
| D | | | 24 | | 8 | 16 | 24 |
| E | | | 271 | | 89 | 179 | 271 |
| F | | | 24 | | 8 | 16 | 24 |
| G | | | | 290 | 96 | 192 | 290 |
| H1 | | | 167 | | 55 | 110 | 167 |
| H2 | | | 449 | | 148 | 296 | 449 |
| I | | | 91 | | 30 | 60 | 91 |

| | Initial | north west | south | north east | 33% | 66% | All |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| J | | | | 759 | 250 | 501 | 759 |
| K | | | 122 | | 40 | 80 | 122 |
| new thermal | 20 | 76 | 63 | 68 | 56 | 111 | 167 |
| B | | 51 | | | 17 | 34 | 51 |
| C | | 5 | | | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| E | | | 2 | | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| F | 16 | 16 | 18 | 16 | 6 | 12 | 18 |
| G | | | | 20 | 7 | 13 | 20 |
| H2 | | | 33 | | 11 | 22 | 33 |
| I | | | 4 | | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| J | 4 | 4 | 4 | 32 | 11 | 21 | 32 |
| K | | | 2 | | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| wave | 10 | 10 | 15 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 15 |
| B | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| D | | | 5 | | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| wind | 4211 | 4889 | 4806 | 4857 | 4844 | 5478 | 6030 |
| A | 638 | 882 | 638 | 638 | 718 | 799 | 882 |
| B | 616 | 992 | 616 | 616 | 740 | 864 | 992 |
| C | 91 | 150 | 91 | 91 | 111 | 130 | 150 |
| D | 262 | 262 | 309 | 262 | 278 | 293 | 309 |
| E | 1397 | 1397 | 1550 | 1397 | 1448 | 1498 | 1550 |
| F | 147 | 147 | 250 | 147 | 181 | 215 | 250 |
| G | 174 | 174 | 174 | 575 | 307 | 439 | 575 |
| H1 | 441 | 441 | 680 | 441 | 520 | 599 | 680 |
| H2 | 322 | 322 | 342 | 322 | 329 | 336 | 342 |
| I | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| J | 88 | 88 | 88 | 333 | 169 | 250 | 233 |
| K | 27 | 27 | 61 | 27 | 38 | 49 | 61 |
| Grand Total | 4241 | 5575 | 6173 | 6198 | 5954 | 7688 | 9364 |

B.3 Generator Type by Node for All Offers

| | battery | inertia | solar | Thermal new | wave | Wind | Total |
|-----------------------------------|------------|----------|------------|-------------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| A | | | 40 | | | 882 | 922 |
| Ardnagappary | | | | | | 18 | 18 |
| Arigna | | | | | | 16 | 16 |
| Binbane | | | | | | 111 | 111 |
| Carrickaduff and Carrickalangan | | | | | | 138 | 138 |
| Cathaleen's Fall | | | | | | 23 | 23 |
| Corderry | | | 40 | | | 63 | 103 |
| Garvagh | | | | | | 82 | 82 |
| Golagh | | | | | | 15 | 15 |
| Gortawee | | | | | | 3 | 3 |
| Letterkenny | | | | | | 90 | 90 |
| Meentycat | | | | | | 85 | 85 |
| Mulreavy | | | | | | 95 | 95 |
| Sorne Hill | | | | | | 67 | 67 |
| Tievebrack | | | | | | 31 | 31 |
| Trillick | | | | | | 45 | 45 |
| B | 15 | 0 | 67 | 51 | 10 | 994 | 1137 |
| Bellacorick | 3 | | | | 10 | 310 | 323 |
| Castlebar | | | | | | 44 | 44 |
| Cloon | | | 24 | | | 4 | 28 |
| Cunghill | | | | | | 35 | 35 |
| Dalton | 12 | | 4 | | | 43 | 59 |
| Glenree | | | | | | 126 | 126 |
| Knockranny | | | | | | 128 | 128 |
| Moy | | | 4 | | | 6 | 10 |
| Salthill | | | | | | 44 | 44 |
| Shantallow into Cashla Somerset T | | | 35 | | | | 35 |
| Sligo | | | | | | 14 | 14 |
| Tawnaghmore | | | | 49 | | 30 | 79 |
| Tonroe | | | | 2 | | 12 | 14 |
| Uggool | | | | | | 198 | 198 |
| C | 263 | | 215 | 5 | | 150 | 633 |
| Athlone | | | 12 | | | | 12 |
| Carrick on Shannon | | | 8 | | | 4 | 12 |
| Dallow | | | 8 | | | 58 | 66 |
| Lanesboro | | | 94 | | | 10 | 104 |
| Lumcloon | 100 | | | | | | 100 |
| Mullingar | | | 12 | | | | 12 |
| Richmond | | | 12 | 5 | | | 17 |
| Shannonbridge | | | 65 | | | | 65 |

| | battery | inertia | solar | Thermal new | wave | Wind | Total |
|--|-----------|---------|------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Shannonbridge 220 | 163 | | | | | | 163 |
| Sliabh Bawn | | | | | | 58 | 58 |
| Somerset | | | 4 | | | 20 | 24 |
| D | | | 24 | | 5 | 309 | 338 |
| Ardnacrusha | | | 8 | | | 8 | 16 |
| Booltiagh | | | | | | 140 | 140 |
| Derrybrien | | | | | | 60 | 60 |
| Drumline | | | 12 | | | | 12 |
| Ennis | | | 4 | | | | 4 |
| Slievecallan | | | | | | 71 | 71 |
| Tullabrack | | | | | 5 | 31 | 36 |
| E | 46 | | 271 | 2 | | 1552 | 1871 |
| Athea | | | | | | 102 | 102 |
| Aughinish | | | 50 | | | | 50 |
| Boggeragh | | | | | | 169 | 169 |
| Charleville | | | 30 | 2 | | 70 | 102 |
| Clahane | | | 34 | | | 52 | 86 |
| Cloghboola | | | | | | 101 | 101 |
| Coomagearlahy | | | | | | 81 | 81 |
| Coomataggart | | | | | | 178 | 178 |
| Cordal | | | | | | 148 | 148 |
| Dromada | | | | | | 29 | 29 |
| Drombeg loop into Kilpaddoge Tralee 2 | | | 50 | | | | 50 |
| Garrow | | | | | | 74 | 74 |
| Glanlee | | | | | | 30 | 30 |
| Glenlara | | | 5 | | | 26 | 31 |
| Glenlara_wind connected via Ballynahulla 220 | | | | | | 41 | 41 |
| Kilpaddoge | 30 | | | | | 60 | 90 |
| Knockacummer | | | | | | 100 | 100 |
| Knockearagh | | | 9 | | | 14 | 23 |
| Limerick | | | 4 | | | | 4 |
| Mallow | | | 19 | | | | 19 |
| Moneypoint | 8 | | | | | 17 | 25 |
| Oughtragh | | | 4 | | | 9 | 13 |
| Rathkeale | | | 4 | | | 32 | 36 |
| Reamore | 8 | | | | | 98 | 106 |
| Toorard into Charleville Glenlara | | | 50 | | | | 50 |
| Tralee | | | 8 | | | 48 | 56 |
| Trien | | | 4 | | | 27 | 31 |
| Trien_wind connected via Knockanure 220 | | | | | | 46 | 46 |

| | battery | inertia | solar | Thermal new | wave | Wind | Total |
|---|------------|---------|------------|-------------|------|------------|-------------|
| F | | | 24 | 18 | | 250 | 292 |
| Ballylickey | | | | | | 60 | 60 |
| Bandon | | | 20 | 17 | | 13 | 50 |
| Dunmanway | | | | | | 153 | 153 |
| Macroom | | | 4 | 1 | | 24 | 29 |
| G | 143 | | 290 | 20 | | 575 | 1028 |
| Baltrasna | | | 20 | | | | 20 |
| Drybridge | | | 19 | 3 | | 6 | 28 |
| Dundalk | | | 4 | | | 31 | 35 |
| Gaskinstown into Drybridge Hawkinstown | | | 85 | | | | 85 |
| Gillinstown into Gorman Platin | | | 95 | | | | 95 |
| Gorman | 50 | | | | | 120 | 170 |
| Hawkinstown into Baltrasna-Dry | | | 50 | | | | 50 |
| Lisdrum | 60 | | | | | 33 | 93 |
| Meath Hill | 33 | | | 4 | | 69 | 106 |
| Navan | | | 13 | 13 | | | 26 |
| Oriel | | | | | | 210 | 210 |
| Ratrussan | | | | | | 79 | 79 |
| Shankill | | | 4 | | | 28 | 32 |
| H1 | | | 167 | | | 680 | 847 |
| Ahane | | | 8 | | | | 8 |
| Ballydine | | | 7 | | | | 7 |
| Barrymore | | | 10 | | | 32 | 42 |
| Cahir | | | 36 | | | | 36 |
| Cauteen | | | | | | 182 | 182 |
| Doon | | | 8 | | | 17 | 25 |
| Ikerrin | | | 30 | | | 36 | 66 |
| Kill Hill | | | | | | 36 | 36 |
| Killonan | | | | | | 141 | 141 |
| Lisheen | | | | | | 127 | 127 |
| Mothel into Ballydine Cullenagh | | | 60 | | | | 60 |
| Nenagh | | | 4 | | | 62 | 66 |
| Thurles | | | | | | 42 | 42 |
| Tipperary | | | 4 | | | 5 | 9 |
| H2 | 95 | | 449 | 33 | | 342 | 919 |
| Arklow | | | 14 | | | 80 | 94 |
| Ballybeg | | | 8 | | | | 8 |
| Ballyfasy into GI-KK | | | 50 | | | | 50 |
| Ballywater | | | | | | 42 | 42 |
| Banoge | 9 | | 8 | | | | 17 |
| Bullockhill into Ballyragget-KK | | | 50 | | | | 50 |
| Carlow | | | 8 | | | 55 | 63 |

| | battery | inertia | solar | Thermal new | wave | Wind | Total |
|---|-----------|----------|------------|----------------|------|------------|-------------|
| Castledockrell | | | | | | 41 | 41 |
| Crane | 16 | | 13 | | | 7 | 36 |
| Croy | | | 16 | | | 60 | 76 |
| Great Island | 30 | | 17 | 33 | | | 80 |
| Kilkenny | 40 | | 24 | | | | 64 |
| Rosspile into GI-Wex | | | 95 | | | | 95 |
| Stratford | | | 4 | | | | 4 |
| Tullabeg into Banoge Crane | | | 50 | | | | 50 |
| Waterford | | | 4 | | | 19 | 23 |
| Wexford | | | 88 | | | 39 | 127 |
| I | | | 91 | 4 | | 6 | 101 |
| Barnahely | | | 5 | | | 5 | 10 |
| Castleview | | | | 4 | | | 4 |
| Coolroe | | | 20 | | | | 20 |
| Cow Cross | | | 5 | | | | 5 |
| Kilbarry | | | 10 | | | | 10 |
| Midleton | | | 43 | | | 2 | 45 |
| Trabeg | | | 9 | | | | 9 |
| J | 67 | 4 | 759 | 32 | | 233 | 1095 |
| Athy | 8 | | 9 | | | | 17 |
| BLAKE T | | | 81 | | | | 81 |
| Blundelstown into Cdu-Mul | | | 80 | | | | 80 |
| Clonfad into Kinn-Mul | | | 100 | | | | 100 |
| College Park | | 4 | | | | | 4 |
| Coolnabacky | | | 55 | | | | 55 |
| Cushaling | | | | | | 100 | 100 |
| Derryiron | 20 | | | 18 | | | 38 |
| Dunfirth | | | 18 | | | | 18 |
| Finglas | | | 20 | 4 | | | 24 |
| Gallanstown Muckerstown into Corduff Platin | | | 119 | | | | 119 |
| Glasmore | | | 4 | | | | 4 |
| Grange Castle | | | 16 | | | | 16 |
| Griffinrath | | | 45 | | | | 45 |
| Harristown | | | 42 | | | | 42 |
| Kilteel | 30 | | 15 | | | | 45 |
| Monread | | | 8 | | | | 8 |
| Mount Lucas | | | | | | 79 | 79 |
| Newbridge | | | 16 | | | | 16 |
| Portlaoise | | | 8 | | | 54 | 62 |
| Stephenstown | 9 | | 5 | | | | 14 |
| Thornsberry | | | 8 | 10 | | | 18 |

| | battery | inertia | solar | Thermal new | wave | Wind | Total |
|--|---------|---------|------------|----------------|------|-----------|------------|
| Timahoe North into Maynooth Derryiron | | | 70 | | | | 70 |
| Treascon into Bracklone Portlaoise | | | 40 | | | | 40 |
| K | | | 122 | 2 | | 61 | 185 |
| Butlerstown | | | 4 | 2 | | 2 | 8 |
| Dungarvan | | | 23 | | | 5 | 28 |
| Rathnaskilloge into Cullenagh Dungarvan | | | 95 | | | | 95 |
| Woodhouse | | | | | | 54 | 54 |

B.4 Generator Type by Node for each Generation Scenario

| node | type | area | Gen Installed Scenarios | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|------|-------------------------|------------|-------|------------|-----|-----|------------|
| | | | Initial | north west | south | north east | 33% | 66% | ALL offers |
| Ahane | solar | H1 | | | 8 | | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Ardnacrusha | solar | D | | | 8 | | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Ardnacrusha | wind | D | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Ardnagappary | wind | A | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Arigna | wind | A | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Arklow | solar | H2 | | | 14 | | 5 | 9 | 14 |
| Arklow | wind | H2 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Athea | wind | E | 34 | 34 | 102 | 34 | 57 | 79 | 102 |
| Athlone | solar | C | | 12 | | | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| Athy | battery | J | | | | 8 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Athy | solar | J | | | | 9 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| Aughinish | solar | E | | | 50 | | 17 | 33 | 50 |
| Ballybeg | solar | H2 | | | 8 | | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Ballydine | solar | H1 | | | 7 | | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| Ballyfasy into GI-KK | solar | H2 | | | 50 | | 17 | 33 | 50 |
| Ballylickey | wind | F | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Ballywater | wind | H2 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 |
| Baltrasna | solar | G | | | | 20 | 7 | 13 | 20 |
| Bandon | solar | F | | | 20 | | 7 | 13 | 20 |
| Bandon | thermal | F | 16 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 17 |
| Bandon | wind | F | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Banoge | battery | H2 | | | 9 | | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| Banoge | solar | H2 | | | 8 | | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Barnahely | solar | I | | | 5 | | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Barnahely | wind | I | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Barrymore | solar | H1 | | | 10 | | 3 | 7 | 10 |
| Barrymore | wind | H1 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Bellacorick | battery | B | | 3 | | | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Bellacorick | wave | B | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Bellacorick | wind | B | 115 | 310 | 115 | 115 | 180 | 245 | 310 |
| Binbane | wind | A | 79 | 111 | 79 | 79 | 90 | 100 | 111 |
| Blake T | solar | J | | | | 81 | 27 | 54 | 81 |
| Blundelstown into Cdu-Mul | solar | J | | | | 80 | 27 | 53 | 80 |
| Boggeragh | wind | E | 143 | 143 | 169 | 143 | 152 | 160 | 169 |
| Booltiagh | wind | D | 93 | 93 | 140 | 93 | 109 | 124 | 140 |
| Bullockhill into Ballyragget-KK | solar | H2 | | | 50 | | 17 | 33 | 50 |
| Butlerstown | solar | K | | | 4 | | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Butlerstown | thermal | K | | | 2 | | 1 | 1 | 2 |

| | | | Gen Installed Scenario s | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------|---------------|-----|-----|---------------|
| node | type | area | Initial | north west | south | north east | 33% | 66% | ALL offers |
| Butlerstown | wind | K | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cahir | solar | H1 | | | 36 | | 12 | 24 | 36 |
| Carlow | solar | H2 | | | 8 | | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Carlow | wind | H2 | 35 | 35 | 55 | 35 | 42 | 48 | 55 |
| Carrick on Shannon | solar | C | | 8 | | | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Carrick on Shannon | wind | C | | 4 | | | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Carrickaduff and Carrickalangan | wind | A | | 138 | | | 46 | 92 | 138 |
| Castlebar | wind | B | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 |
| Castledockrell | wind | H2 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 |
| Castleview | thermal | I | | | 4 | | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Cathleen's Fall | wind | A | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Cauteen | wind | H1 | 178 | 178 | 182 | 178 | 179 | 181 | 182 |
| Charleville | solar | E | | | 30 | | 10 | 20 | 30 |
| Charleville | thermal | E | | | 2 | | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Charleville | wind | E | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Clahane | solar | E | | | 34 | | 11 | 23 | 34 |
| Clahane | wind | E | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Cloghboola | wind | E | 101 | 101 | 101 | 101 | 101 | 101 | 101 |
| Clonfad into Kinn-Mul | solar | J | | | | 100 | 33 | 67 | 100 |
| Cloon | solar | B | | 24 | | | 8 | 16 | 24 |
| Cloon | wind | B | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| College Park | inertia | J | | | | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Coolnabacky | solar | J | | | | 55 | 18 | 37 | 55 |
| Coolroe | solar | I | | | 20 | | 7 | 13 | 20 |
| Coomagearlahy | wind | E | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 |
| Coomataggart | wind | E | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 |
| Cordal | wind | E | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 | 148 |
| Corderry | solar | A | | 40 | | | 13 | 27 | 40 |
| Corderry | wind | A | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 |
| Cow Cross | solar | I | | | 5 | | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Crane | battery | H2 | | | 16 | | 5 | 11 | 16 |
| Crane | solar | H2 | | | 13 | | 4 | 9 | 13 |
| Crane | wind | H2 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Crory | solar | H2 | | | 16 | | 5 | 11 | 16 |
| Crory | wind | H2 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Cunghill | wind | B | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| Cushaling | wind | J | | | | 100 | 33 | 67 | 100 |
| Dallow | solar | C | | | 8 | | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Dallow | wind | C | 21 | 21 | 58 | 21 | 33 | 46 | 58 |
| Dalton | battery | B | | 12 | | | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| Dalton | solar | B | | 4 | | | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Dalton | wind | B | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 |

| | | | Gen Installed Scenario s | | | | | | |
|---|---------|------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------|---------------|-----|-----|---------------|
| node | type | area | Initial | north west | south | north east | 33% | 66% | ALL offers |
| Derrybrien | wind | D | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Derryiron | battery | J | | | | 20 | 7 | 13 | 20 |
| Derryiron | thermal | J | | | | 18 | 6 | 12 | 18 |
| Doon | solar | H1 | | | 8 | | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Doon | wind | H1 | | | 17 | | 6 | 11 | 17 |
| Dromada | wind | E | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 |
| Drombeg loop into Kilpaddoge Tralee 2 | solar | E | | | 50 | | 17 | 33 | 50 |
| Drumline | solar | D | | | 12 | | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| Drybridge | solar | G | | | | 19 | 6 | 13 | 19 |
| Drybridge | thermal | G | | | | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Drybridge | wind | G | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Dundalk | solar | G | | | | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Dundalk | wind | G | 16 | 16 | 16 | 31 | 21 | 26 | 31 |
| Dunfirth | solar | J | | | | 18 | 6 | 12 | 18 |
| Dungarvan | solar | K | | | 23 | | 8 | 15 | 23 |
| Dungarvan | wind | K | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Dunmanway | wind | F | 50 | 50 | 153 | 50 | 84 | 119 | 153 |
| Ennis | solar | D | | | 4 | | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Finglas | solar | J | | | | 20 | 7 | 13 | 20 |
| Finglas | thermal | J | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Gallanstown Muckerstown into Corduff Platin | solar | J | | | | 119 | 40 | 79 | 119 |
| Garrow | wind | E | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 74 |
| Garvagh | wind | A | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 |
| Gaskinstown into Drybridge Hawkestown | solar | G | | | | 85 | 28 | 57 | 85 |
| Gillinstown into Gorman Platin | solar | G | | | | 95 | 32 | 63 | 95 |
| Glanlee | wind | E | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Glasmore | solar | J | | | | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Glenlara | solar | E | | | 5 | | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Glenlara | wind | E | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 |
| Glenlara_wind | wind | E | 27 | 27 | 41 | 27 | 32 | 36 | 41 |
| Glenree | wind | B | 65 | 126 | 65 | 65 | 85 | 106 | 126 |
| Golagh | wind | A | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Gorman | battery | G | | | | 50 | 17 | 33 | 50 |
| Gorman | wind | G | | | | 120 | 40 | 80 | 120 |
| Gortawee | wind | A | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Grange Castle | solar | J | | | | 16 | 5 | 11 | 16 |
| Great Island | battery | H2 | | | 30 | | 10 | 20 | 30 |
| Great Island | solar | H2 | | | 17 | | 6 | 11 | 17 |

| | | | Gen Installed Scenario s | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------|---------------|-----|-----|---------------|
| node | type | area | Initial | north west | south | north east | 33% | 66% | ALL offers |
| Great Island | thermal | H2 | | | 33 | | 11 | 22 | 33 |
| Griffinrath | solar | J | | | | 45 | 15 | 30 | 45 |
| Harristown | solar | J | | | | 42 | 14 | 28 | 42 |
| Hawkinstown into Baltrasna-Dry | solar | G | | | | 50 | 17 | 33 | 50 |
| Ikerrin | solar | H1 | | | 30 | | 10 | 20 | 30 |
| Ikerrin | wind | H1 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| Kilbarry | solar | I | | | 10 | | 3 | 7 | 10 |
| Kilkenny | battery | H2 | | | 40 | | 13 | 27 | 40 |
| Kilkenny | solar | H2 | | | 24 | | 8 | 16 | 24 |
| Kill Hill | wind | H1 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| Killonan | wind | H1 | | | 141 | | 47 | 94 | 141 |
| Kilpaddoge | battery | E | | | 30 | | 10 | 20 | 30 |
| Kilpaddoge | wind | E | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Kilteel | battery | J | | | | 30 | 10 | 20 | 30 |
| Kilteel | solar | J | | | | 15 | 5 | 10 | 15 |
| Knockacummer | wind | E | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Knockearagh | solar | E | | | 9 | | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| Knockearagh | wind | E | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Knockranny | wind | B | 37 | 128 | 37 | 37 | 67 | 98 | 128 |
| Lanesboro | solar | C | | 94 | | | 31 | 63 | 94 |
| Lanesboro | wind | C | 5 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 10 |
| Letterkenny | wind | A | 52 | 90 | 52 | 52 | 65 | 77 | 90 |
| Limerick | solar | E | | | 4 | | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Lisdrum | battery | G | | | | 60 | 20 | 40 | 60 |
| Lisdrum | wind | G | | | | 33 | 11 | 22 | 33 |
| Lisheen | wind | H1 | 99 | 99 | 127 | 99 | 108 | 118 | 127 |
| Lumcloon | battery | C | | 100 | | | 33 | 67 | 100 |
| Macroon | solar | F | | | 4 | | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Macroon | thermal | F | | | 1 | | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Macroon | wind | F | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| Mallow | solar | E | | | 19 | | 6 | 13 | 19 |
| Meath Hill | battery | G | | | | 33 | 11 | 22 | 33 |
| Meath Hill | thermal | G | | | | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Meath Hill | wind | G | 46 | 46 | 46 | 69 | 54 | 61 | 69 |
| Meentycat | wind | A | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Midleton | solar | I | | | 43 | | 14 | 29 | 43 |
| Midleton | wind | I | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Moneypoint | battery | E | | | 8 | | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Moneypoint | wind | E | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Monread | solar | J | | | | 8 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Mothel into Ballydine Cullenagh | solar | H1 | | | 60 | | 20 | 40 | 60 |

| | | | Gen Installed Scenario s | | | | | | |
|--|---------|------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------|---------------|-----|-----|---------------|
| node | type | area | Initial | north west | south | north east | 33% | 66% | ALL offers |
| Mount Lucas | wind | J | 79 | 79 | 79 | 79 | 79 | 79 | 79 |
| Moy | solar | B | | 4 | | | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Moy | wind | B | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Mullingar | solar | C | | 12 | | | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| Mulreavy | wind | A | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Navan | solar | G | | | | 13 | 4 | 9 | 13 |
| Navan | thermal | G | | | | 13 | 4 | 9 | 13 |
| Nenagh | solar | H1 | | | 4 | | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Nenagh | wind | H1 | 14 | 14 | 62 | 14 | 30 | 46 | 62 |
| Newbridge | solar | J | | | | 16 | 5 | 11 | 16 |
| Oriel | wind | G | | | | 210 | 70 | 140 | 210 |
| Oughtragh | solar | E | | | 4 | | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Oughtragh | wind | E | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Portlaoise | solar | J | | | | 8 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Portlaoise | wind | J | 9 | 9 | 9 | 54 | 24 | 39 | 54 |
| Rathkeale | solar | E | | | 4 | | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Rathkeale | wind | E | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Rathnaskilloge into Cullenagh Dungarvan | solar | K | | | 95 | | 32 | 63 | 95 |
| Ratrussan | wind | G | 79 | 79 | 79 | 79 | 79 | 79 | 79 |
| Reamore | battery | E | | | 8 | | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Reamore | wind | E | 60 | 60 | 98 | 60 | 73 | 85 | 98 |
| Richmond | solar | C | | 12 | | | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| Richmond | thermal | C | | 5 | | | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Rosspile into GI-Wex | solar | H2 | | | 95 | | 32 | 63 | 95 |
| Salthill | wind | B | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 |
| Shankill | solar | G | | | | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Shankill | wind | G | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 |
| Shannonbridge | solar | C | | 65 | | | 22 | 43 | 65 |
| Shannonbridge 220 | battery | C | | 163 | | | 54 | 109 | 163 |
| Shantallow into Cashla Somerset T | solar | B | | 35 | | | 12 | 23 | 35 |
| Sliabh Bawn | wind | C | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 |
| Slievecallan | wind | D | 71 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 71 |
| Sligo | wind | B | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Somerset | solar | C | | 4 | | | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Somerset | wind | C | 8 | 20 | 8 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 20 |
| Sorne Hill | wind | A | 62 | 67 | 62 | 62 | 64 | 65 | 67 |
| Stephenstown | battery | J | | | | 9 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| Stephenstown | solar | J | | | | 5 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Stratford | solar | H2 | | | 4 | | 1 | 3 | 4 |

| | | | Gen Installed Scenario s | | | | | | |
|--|---------|------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------|---------------|-----|-----|---------------|
| node | type | area | Initial | north west | south | north east | 33% | 66% | ALL offers |
| Tawnaghmore | thermal | B | | 49 | | | 16 | 33 | 49 |
| Tawnaghmore | wind | B | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Thornsberry | solar | J | | | | 8 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Thornsberry | thermal | J | | | | 10 | 3 | 7 | 10 |
| Thurles | wind | H1 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 |
| Tievebrack | wind | A | | 31 | | | 10 | 21 | 31 |
| Timahoe North into Maynooth Derryiron | solar | J | | | | 70 | 23 | 47 | 70 |
| Tipperary | solar | H1 | | | 4 | | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Tipperary | wind | H1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Tonroe | thermal | B | | 2 | | | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Tonroe | wind | B | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Toorard into Charleville Glenlara | solar | E | | | 50 | | 17 | 33 | 50 |
| Trabeg | solar | I | | | 9 | | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| Tralee | solar | E | | | 8 | | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Tralee | wind | E | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 |
| Treascon into Bracklone Portlaoise | solar | J | | | | 40 | 13 | 27 | 40 |
| Trien | solar | E | | | 4 | | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Trien | wind | E | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 |
| Trien_wind | wind | E | 40 | 40 | 46 | 40 | 42 | 44 | 46 |
| Trillick | wind | A | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| Tullabeg into Banoge Crane | solar | H2 | | | 50 | | 17 | 33 | 50 |
| Tullabrack | wave | D | | | 5 | | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Tullabrack | wind | D | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Uggool | wind | B | 169 | 198 | 169 | 169 | 179 | 188 | 198 |
| Waterford | solar | H2 | | | 4 | | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Waterford | wind | H2 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| Wexford | solar | H2 | | | 88 | | 29 | 59 | 88 |
| Wexford | wind | H2 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 |
| Woodhouse | wind | K | 20 | 20 | 54 | 20 | 31 | 43 | 54 |

B.5 Generator List by Name

| Area | Node | Type | Name | SO | Status | MEC |
|------|----------------------|---------|---|-----|-----------|-------|
| H1 | Ahane | solar | Clyduff Solar Park | DSO | offer | 4 |
| H1 | Ahane | solar | Laghtane Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| D | Ardnacrusha | solar | Ballymorris Solar Park | DSO | offer | 4 |
| D | Ardnacrusha | solar | Clooncarhy Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| D | Ardnacrusha | wind | Knockastanna (1) | DSO | connected | 7.5 |
| A | Ardnagappary | wind | Cronalaght (2) | DSO | offer | 17.96 |
| A | Arigna | wind | Corrie Mountain (1) | DSO | connected | 4.8 |
| A | Arigna | wind | Kilronan (1) | DSO | connected | 5 |
| A | Arigna | wind | Seltanaveeny (1) | DSO | connected | 4.6 |
| A | Arigna | wind | Spion Kop (1) | DSO | connected | 1.2 |
| H2 | Arklow | solar | Templeraíne East Solar Farm | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| H2 | Arklow | solar | Tiglin Solar | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| H2 | Arklow | wind | Arklow Bank (1) | DSO | connected | 25.2 |
| H2 | Arklow | wind | Ballycumber (1) | DSO | offer | 18 |
| H2 | Arklow | solar | Knockadosan Solar (formerly Springfarm Wind Farm) | DSO | offer | 6 |
| H2 | Arklow | wind | Raheenleagh (1) | DSO | connected | 36.5 |
| E | Athea | wind | Athea (1)a | TSO | connected | 34.35 |
| E | Athea | wind | Beenanaspock and Tobertooreen Wind Farm | TSO | offer | 34.15 |
| E | Athea | wind | Knockathea | DSO | offer | 33.9 |
| C | Athlone | solar | Ballinamudda Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| C | Athlone | solar | Rooaun Solar Farm | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| C | Athlone | solar | Shannagh Beg Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| J | Athy | battery | Moatstown Battery Energy Storage | DSO | ECP | 8 |
| J | Athy | solar | Moatstown Solar | DSO | offer | 4 |
| J | Athy | solar | Woodstock North Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4.99 |
| E | Aughinish | solar | Ballinknockane Solar Farm | TSO | offer | 50 |
| H2 | Ballybeg | solar | Ballymerrigan PV | DSO | offer | 4 |
| H2 | Ballybeg | solar | Millvale PV | DSO | offer | 4 |
| H1 | Ballydine | solar | Ballyrichard Solar Park | DSO | offer | 3 |
| H1 | Ballydine | solar | Carrick Solar | DSO | offer | 4 |
| H2 | Ballyfasy into GI-KK | solar | Ballyfasy Upper Solar Farm | TSO | ECP | 50 |
| F | Ballylickey | wind | Ballybane (2a) | DSO | connected | 11.5 |
| F | Ballylickey | wind | Ballybane (Glanta Commons) Wind Farm | DSO | connected | 19.55 |
| F | Ballylickey | wind | Ballybane 2 (Glanta Commons) Wind Farm | DSO | connected | 8.4 |
| F | Ballylickey | wind | Ballybane 2A (Glanta Commons) Wind Farm Extension | DSO | connected | 1.55 |
| F | Ballylickey | wind | Ballybane 3 (Glanta Commons) Wind Farm | DSO | connected | 4.45 |
| F | Ballylickey | wind | Derreenacrinnig West (prev Kilvinane 2 WF) | DSO | offer | 5.82 |
| F | Ballylickey | wind | Kealkil (Curraglass) (1) | DSO | connected | 8.5 |

| Area | Node | Type | Name | SO | Status | MEC |
|------|--------------|---------|---|-----|-----------|------|
| H2 | Ballywater | wind | Ballywater (1) | TSO | connected | 31.5 |
| H2 | Ballywater | wind | Ballywater (2) | TSO | connected | 10.5 |
| G | Baltrasna | solar | Hilltown PV | DSO | offer | 10 |
| G | Baltrasna | solar | Painestown Hill Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 9.99 |
| F | Bandon | solar | Callatrim South Solar PV Farm | DSO | ECP | 5.95 |
| F | Bandon | solar | Currabea | DSO | ECP | 4.95 |
| F | Bandon | solar | Enniskeane PV | DSO | ECP | 3.99 |
| F | Bandon | solar | Garryndruig | DSO | ECP | 4.95 |
| F | Bandon | thermal | GP Wood CHP | DSO | offer | 16.3 |
| F | Bandon | thermal | Timoleague Agri Gen | DSO | offer | 1.1 |
| F | Bandon | wind | Garranereagh (1) | DSO | connected | 8.75 |
| F | Bandon | wind | Kilvinane (1) | DSO | connected | 4.5 |
| H2 | Banoge | battery | Gorey Battery Energy Storage | DSO | ECP | 9 |
| H2 | Banoge | solar | Courtown Solar Farm (previously Coolnastudd) | DSO | offer | 4 |
| H2 | Banoge | solar | Gorey Solar | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| I | Barnahely | solar | Leacht Cross Solar | DSO | offer | 4.95 |
| I | Barnahely | wind | DePuy | DSO | connected | 2.5 |
| I | Barnahely | wind | Wind Energy Project (Janssen) | DSO | connected | 2 |
| H1 | Barrymore | solar | Farran South | DSO | ECP | 9.9 |
| H1 | Barrymore | wind | Barranafaddock (1) | DSO | connected | 32.4 |
| B | Bellacorick | battery | Shranakilla Energy Park | DSO | ECP | 3 |
| B | Bellacorick | wave | AMETS Belmullet (1) | DSO | offer | 10 |
| B | Bellacorick | wind | Bellacorick (1) | DSO | connected | 6.45 |
| B | Bellacorick | wind | Bunaveala (Keenagh) (1) | DSO | offer | 9.2 |
| B | Bellacorick | wind | Bunnahowen (1) | DSO | offer | 2.55 |
| B | Bellacorick | wind | Dooleeg More (1) | DSO | ECP | 2.5 |
| B | Bellacorick | wind | Gortnahurra (1) | DSO | offer | 33.9 |
| B | Bellacorick | wind | Oweninny (5)** | TSO | offer | 50 |
| B | Bellacorick | wind | Oweninny Power (1) | TSO | offer | 89 |
| B | Bellacorick | wind | Oweninny Power (2) | TSO | offer | 83 |
| B | Bellacorick | wind | Sheskin Windfarm (formerly Ederglen Windfarm) | DSO | offer | 16.8 |
| B | Bellacorick | wind | Tawnaghmore 1 2 and 3 Merge | DSO | offer | 16.1 |
| A | Binbane | wind | Clogheravaddy (1) | DSO | offer | 20 |
| A | Binbane | wind | Corkermore (1) | DSO | connected | 9.99 |
| A | Binbane | wind | Corkermore (2) | DSO | offer | 9.4 |
| A | Binbane | wind | Killin Hill (1) | DSO | connected | 6 |
| A | Binbane | wind | Killybegs (1) | DSO | connected | 2.55 |
| A | Binbane | wind | Loughderryduff (1) | DSO | connected | 7.65 |
| A | Binbane | wind | Maas Wind Farm (Loughderryduff 2) | DSO | offer | 9.35 |
| A | Binbane | wind | Meenachullalan (1) | DSO | connected | 11.9 |
| A | Binbane | wind | Meenachullalan (2) | DSO | offer | 1.9 |
| A | Binbane | wind | Mully Graffy Windfarm (Kilgorman) | DSO | ECP | 29.9 |
| A | Binbane | wind | Shannagh (1) previously Kilcar | DSO | connected | 2.55 |
| J | Blake T | solar | Timahoe South | TSO | ECP | 81 |
| J | Blundelstown | solar | Blundelstown | TSO | offer | 80 |

| Area | Node | Type | Name | SO | Status | MEC |
|------|---------------------------------|---------|---|-----|-----------|--------|
| | into Cdu-Mul | | | | | |
| E | Boggeragh | wind | Boggeragh (1) | TSO | connected | 57 |
| E | Boggeragh | wind | Boggeragh 2 | TSO | connected | 47.7 |
| E | Boggeragh | wind | Boggeragh 2 (Killavoy (1)) | TSO | connected | 18 |
| E | Boggeragh | wind | Carriggannon (1) | DSO | connected | 20 |
| E | Boggeragh | wind | Carriggannon (2) | DSO | ECP | 3 |
| E | Boggeragh | wind | Esk (1) | DSO | offer | 5.4 |
| E | Boggeragh | wind | Esk Wind Farm (sub metered Gneevs 2 Merge) | | offer | 5.4 |
| E | Boggeragh | wind | ESK Wind Farm Phase 2 | DSO | ECP | 12 |
| D | Booltiagh | wind | Booltiagh (1) | TSO | connected | 19.45 |
| D | Booltiagh | wind | Booltiagh (2) | TSO | connected | 3 |
| D | Booltiagh | wind | Booltiagh (3) | TSO | connected | 9 |
| D | Booltiagh | wind | Boolynagleragh (1) | DSO | offer | 36.98 |
| D | Booltiagh | wind | Cahermurphy (1) | DSO | offer | 6 |
| D | Booltiagh | wind | Crossmore (1) | DSO | ECP | 15 |
| D | Booltiagh | wind | Glenmore (1) | DSO | offer | 24 |
| D | Booltiagh | wind | Kiltumper | DSO | offer | 4.99 |
| D | Booltiagh | wind | Lissycasey (1) | DSO | offer | 13.399 |
| D | Booltiagh | wind | Sorrell Island (Glenmore) Wind Farm Extension | DSO | ECP | 8 |
| H2 | Bullockhill into Ballyragget-KK | solar | Bullockhill Solar Park | TSO | ECP | 50 |
| K | Butlerstown | solar | Coolnagapogue Solar Farm Phase 1 | DSO | ECP | 3.95 |
| K | Butlerstown | thermal | Ormonde Organics | DSO | ECP | 1.9 |
| K | Butlerstown | wind | Beallough (1) | DSO | connected | 1.7 |
| H1 | Cahir | solar | Ballyfowloo Solar Farm | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| H1 | Cahir | solar | Ballymacadam Solar | DSO | ECP | 24 |
| H1 | Cahir | solar | Clonmel Road Solar | DSO | offer | 4 |
| H1 | Cahir | solar | Lawclon Solar Farm | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| H2 | Carlow | solar | Kilcarrig Solar PV Farm | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| H2 | Carlow | solar | Loan PV | DSO | ECP | 3.99 |
| H2 | Carlow | wind | Ballyshonog (1) | DSO | offer | 5 |
| H2 | Carlow | wind | Bilboa (1) | DSO | ECP | 15 |
| H2 | Carlow | wind | Cronelea (1) | DSO | connected | 4.99 |
| H2 | Carlow | wind | Cronelea (2) | DSO | connected | 4.5 |
| H2 | Carlow | wind | Cronelea Upper (1) | DSO | connected | 2.55 |
| H2 | Carlow | wind | Cronelea Upper (2) | DSO | connected | 1.7 |
| H2 | Carlow | wind | Gortahile (1) | DSO | connected | 21 |
| C | Carrick on Shannon | solar | Lisdadnan Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| C | Carrick on Shannon | solar | Rathleg Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| C | Carrick on Shannon | wind | Derryknockeran (1) | DSO | offer | 4.25 |
| A | Carrickaduff and Carrickalangan | wind | Carrickaduff Wind Farm (1) | TSO | offer | 33 |
| A | Carrickaduff and Carrickalangan | wind | Carrickaduff Wind Farm (2) | TSO | offer | 33.1 |

| Area | Node | Type | Name | SO | Status | MEC |
|------|---------------------------------|---------|---|-----|-----------|-------|
| A | Carrickaduff and Carrickalangan | wind | Carrickalangan | TSO | ECP | 72 |
| B | Castlebar | wind | Cuillalea (1) | DSO | connected | 3.4 |
| B | Castlebar | wind | Cuillalea (2) | DSO | connected | 1.59 |
| B | Castlebar | wind | Lenanavea (2) - Lenanavea Burren | DSO | connected | 4.65 |
| B | Castlebar | wind | Raheen Barr (1) | DSO | connected | 18.7 |
| B | Castlebar | wind | Raheen Barr (2) | DSO | connected | 8.5 |
| B | Castlebar | wind | Raheen barr Extension (formally Lenanavea (3)) | DSO | offer | 6.8 |
| H2 | Castledockrell | wind | Castledockrell (1) | TSO | connected | 20 |
| H2 | Castledockrell | wind | Castledockrell (2) | TSO | connected | 2 |
| H2 | Castledockrell | wind | Castledockrell (3) | TSO | connected | 3.3 |
| H2 | Castledockrell | wind | Castledockrell (4) | TSO | connected | 16.1 |
| I | Castleview | thermal | Hoffman Renewable Bioenergy Plant | DSO | offer | 4 |
| A | Cathaleen's Fall | wind | Anarget (1) | DSO | connected | 1.98 |
| A | Cathaleen's Fall | wind | Meenadreen (1) | DSO | connected | 3.4 |
| A | Cathaleen's Fall | wind | Spaddan (1) | DSO | connected | 17.5 |
| H1 | Cauteen | wind | Cappagh White (1) | DSO | connected | 13.2 |
| H1 | Cauteen | wind | Cappagh White 2 & 3 & 4 Merge | DSO | connected | 49.08 |
| H1 | Cauteen | wind | Cappawhite A (merger of Cappagh White 3, 2 & 4) | DSO | connected | 2.92 |
| H1 | Cauteen | wind | Garracummer (1) | DSO | connected | 36.9 |
| H1 | Cauteen | wind | Garracummer (2) | DSO | connected | 1 |
| H1 | Cauteen | wind | Glencarbry (1) | DSO | connected | 33 |
| H1 | Cauteen | wind | Glenough (1) | DSO | connected | 33 |
| H1 | Cauteen | wind | Holyford (1) | DSO | connected | 9 |
| H1 | Cauteen | wind | Ring Hill Wind Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| E | Charleville | solar | Fiddane Solar | DSO | offer | 29.9 |
| E | Charleville | thermal | Evaporator Upgrade | DSO | offer | 1.5 |
| E | Charleville | wind | Boolard Wind Farm (Charleville) | DSO | offer | 4.45 |
| E | Charleville | wind | Castlepook (1) | DSO | connected | 33.1 |
| E | Charleville | wind | Kilberehert (1) | DSO | connected | 4.799 |
| E | Charleville | wind | Kilmeedy (1) | DSO | connected | 4.7 |
| E | Charleville | wind | Knocknatallig | DSO | connected | 18.3 |
| E | Charleville | wind | Rathnacally (1) | DSO | connected | 4.45 |
| E | Clahane | solar | Banemore Solar Farm | TSO | offer | 34 |
| E | Clahane | wind | Clahane (1) | TSO | connected | 37.8 |
| E | Clahane | wind | Clahane (2) | TSO | connected | 13.8 |
| E | Cloghboola | wind | Cloghanaleskirt (1) | DSO | connected | 12.55 |
| E | Cloghboola | wind | Glanaruddery 1 (formerly Dromadda More Wind Farm) | DSO | connected | 20 |
| E | Cloghboola | wind | Glanaruddery 2 (formerly Dromadda More 2) | DSO | connected | 12 |
| E | Cloghboola | wind | Glantaunyalkeen Windfarm(Cloghboola (2) Ext) | DSO | offer | 10 |
| E | Cloghboola | wind | Knocknagashel Wind (Cloghboola (1)) | TSO | connected | 46 |
| J | Clonfad into Kinn-Mul | solar | Clonfad Solar | TSO | ECP | 100 |

| Area | Node | Type | Name | SO | Status | MEC |
|------|---------------|---------|------------------------------------|-----|-----------|--------|
| B | Cloon | solar | Barnderg Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| B | Cloon | solar | Cloonascragh Solar | DSO | ECP | 20 |
| B | Cloon | wind | Cloonlusk (1) | DSO | connected | 4.25 |
| J | College Park | inertia | Data Electronics Services Ltd | DSO | offer | 4 |
| J | Coolnabacky | solar | Loughteague | TSO | offer | 55 |
| I | Coolroe | solar | Carrigyknaveen Solar Park | DSO | offer | 10 |
| I | Coolroe | solar | Garravagh 1 Solar Park | DSO | offer | 10 |
| E | Coomagearlahy | wind | Coomagearlahy (1) | TSO | connected | 42.5 |
| E | Coomagearlahy | wind | Coomagearlahy (2) | TSO | connected | 8.5 |
| E | Coomagearlahy | wind | Coomagearlahy (3) | TSO | connected | 30 |
| E | Coomataggart | wind | Cleanrath (1) | DSO | offer | 42.64 |
| E | Coomataggart | wind | Grousemount WF | TSO | offer | 114.2 |
| E | Coomataggart | wind | Lettercannon (1) | DSO | offer | 21.6 |
| E | Cordal | wind | Coollegrean (1) | DSO | connected | 18.5 |
| E | Cordal | wind | Cordal (1) | TSO | connected | 35.85 |
| E | Cordal | wind | Cordal (2) | TSO | connected | 54 |
| E | Cordal | wind | Scartaglen (1) | DSO | connected | 35.45 |
| E | Cordal | wind | Scartaglen (2) | DSO | connected | 3.8 |
| A | Corderry | solar | Glen Solar | TSO | ECP | 40 |
| A | Corderry | wind | Altagowlan (1) | DSO | connected | 7.65 |
| A | Corderry | wind | Black Banks (1) | DSO | connected | 3.4 |
| A | Corderry | wind | Black Banks (2) | DSO | connected | 6.8 |
| A | Corderry | wind | Carrane Hill (1) | DSO | connected | 3.4 |
| A | Corderry | wind | Carrane Hill (2) | DSO | connected | 1.598 |
| A | Corderry | wind | Geevagh (1) | DSO | connected | 4.95 |
| A | Corderry | wind | Moneenatieve (1) | DSO | connected | 3.96 |
| A | Corderry | wind | Tullynamoyle (1) | DSO | connected | 9 |
| A | Corderry | wind | Tullynamoyle 2 Wind Farm | DSO | connected | 10.225 |
| A | Corderry | wind | Tullynamoyle 3 | DSO | connected | 11.98 |
| I | Cow Cross | solar | Ballynacrusa | DSO | ECP | 4.95 |
| H2 | Crane | battery | Avonbeg BESS | DSO | ECP | 16 |
| H2 | Crane | solar | Cherryorchard Solar Farm | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| H2 | Crane | solar | Macallian Solar | DSO | ECP | 9 |
| H2 | Crane | wind | Greenoge (1) | DSO | connected | 4.99 |
| H2 | Crane | wind | Kilbranish (1) | DSO | offer | 2.5 |
| H2 | Crory | solar | Ballycarney PV | DSO | ECP | 3.99 |
| H2 | Crory | solar | Ballylough | DSO | ECP | 3.999 |
| H2 | Crory | solar | Ballymacsimon Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 3.99 |
| H2 | Crory | wind | Ballaman formerly (Kennystown) (1) | DSO | connected | 3.6 |
| H2 | Crory | wind | Ballycadden (1) | DSO | connected | 14.45 |
| H2 | Crory | wind | Ballycadden (2) | DSO | connected | 9.762 |
| H2 | Crory | wind | Ballyduff (1) | DSO | connected | 4 |
| H2 | Crory | wind | Ballynancoran (1) | DSO | connected | 4 |
| H2 | Crory | wind | Gibbet Hill (1) | DSO | connected | 14.8 |
| H2 | Crory | wind | Knocknalour (1) | DSO | connected | 5 |
| H2 | Crory | wind | Knocknalour (2) | DSO | connected | 3.95 |

| Area | Node | Type | Name | SO | Status | MEC |
|------|---------------------------------------|---------|--|-----|-----------|-------|
| H2 | Crory | solar | The Dell Solar Farm | DSO | ECP | 3.99 |
| B | Cunghill | wind | Kingsmountain (1) | TSO | connected | 23.75 |
| B | Cunghill | wind | Kingsmountain (2) | TSO | connected | 11.05 |
| J | Cushaling | wind | Cloncreen Wind farm | TSO | ECP | 100 |
| C | Dallow | solar | Clonoghill Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| C | Dallow | solar | Lacka Solar Park | DSO | ECP | 3.99 |
| C | Dallow | wind | Carrig (1) | DSO | connected | 2.55 |
| C | Dallow | wind | Leabeg (1) | DSO | connected | 4.25 |
| C | Dallow | wind | Meenwaun WF | DSO | connected | 9.99 |
| C | Dallow | wind | Meenwaun Windfarm Ext. | DSO | ECP | 3.3 |
| C | Dallow | wind | Shannonbridge Wind B | TSO | ECP | 34 |
| C | Dallow | wind | Skehanagh (1) | DSO | connected | 4.25 |
| B | Dalton | battery | MCB Battery Storage | DSO | ECP | 12 |
| B | Dalton | solar | Lisduff Solar Park (Claremorris) | DSO | offer | 4 |
| B | Dalton | wind | Mace Upper (1) | DSO | connected | 2.55 |
| B | Dalton | wind | Magheramore (1) | DSO | connected | 40.8 |
| D | Derrybrien | wind | Derrybrien (1) | TSO | connected | 59.5 |
| J | Derryiron | battery | Rhode 20 MW ESS | TSO | ECP | 20 |
| J | Derryiron | thermal | Rhode Biomass Extension | DSO | offer | 1.74 |
| J | Derryiron | thermal | Rhode Biomass Plant (1) | DSO | offer | 14.56 |
| J | Derryiron | thermal | Rhode Biomass Plant (2nd Ext of DG793) | DSO | offer | 1.2 |
| H1 | Doon | solar | Horsepasture Solar Farm (Grian PV) | DSO | ECP | 8 |
| H1 | Doon | wind | Boolabrien Upper (1) | DSO | offer | 17 |
| E | Dromada | wind | Dromada (1) | TSO | connected | 28.5 |
| E | Drombeg loop into Kilpaddoge Tralee 2 | solar | Drombeg Solar Park | TSO | offer | 50 |
| D | Drumline | solar | Clonloghan 2 Solar Park | DSO | offer | 4 |
| D | Drumline | solar | Clonloghan Solar Park | DSO | offer | 4 |
| D | Drumline | solar | Firgrove Solar Park | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| G | Drybridge | solar | Cluide Solar | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| G | Drybridge | solar | Dardistown Solar | DSO | ECP | 3.5 |
| G | Drybridge | solar | Grangegeeth Solar | DSO | offer | 4 |
| G | Drybridge | solar | Newtown PV | DSO | offer | 3.99 |
| G | Drybridge | solar | Stamullen Solar Park | DSO | ECP | 3.99 |
| G | Drybridge | thermal | Rathdrinagh Biogas | DSO | offer | 3 |
| G | Drybridge | wind | Collon Wind Power | DSO | connected | 2.3 |
| G | Drybridge | wind | Dunmore (1) | DSO | connected | 1.7 |
| G | Drybridge | wind | Dunmore (2) | DSO | connected | 1.8 |
| G | Dundalk | solar | Willville Solar Park | DSO | ECP | 3.99 |
| G | Dundalk | wind | Grove Hill (1) formerly Tullynageer | DSO | connected | 16.1 |
| G | Dundalk | wind | Slievenaglogh (1) | DSO | offer | 15 |
| J | Dunfirth | solar | Hortland PV | DSO | offer | 4 |
| J | Dunfirth | solar | Knockanally Solar Park | DSO | offer | 10 |
| J | Dunfirth | solar | Ovidstown Solar | DSO | offer | 4 |
| K | Dungarvan | solar | Clashnagoneen Solar Farm | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| K | Dungarvan | solar | Drumroe East Solar Farm | DSO | ECP | 15 |

| Area | Node | Type | Name | SO | Status | MEC |
|------|---|---------|--|-----|-----------|--------|
| K | Dungarvan | solar | Foxhall PV | DSO | ECP | 3.99 |
| K | Dungarvan | wind | Ballycurreen (1) | DSO | connected | 4.99 |
| F | Dunmanway | wind | Carrigdangan (formerly Barnadivine) | TSO | offer | 60 |
| F | Dunmanway | wind | Carrigdangan Wind Farm Ext. | TSO | ECP | 7.95 |
| F | Dunmanway | wind | Coomatallin (1) | DSO | connected | 5.95 |
| F | Dunmanway | wind | Coomatallin (2) | DSO | offer | 3.05 |
| F | Dunmanway | wind | Coomleagh (1) | DSO | offer | 5.95 |
| F | Dunmanway | wind | Coomleagh Wind Farm (extension) | | offer | 2 |
| F | Dunmanway | wind | Currabwee (1) | DSO | connected | 4.62 |
| F | Dunmanway | wind | Derryvacorneen (1) | DSO | connected | 17 |
| F | Dunmanway | wind | Dromleena (1) | DSO | ECP | 9.9 |
| F | Dunmanway | wind | Killaveenoge (formerly Barrboy (1)) | DSO | connected | 7.8 |
| F | Dunmanway | wind | Knockeenbui Wind Farm | DSO | ECP | 13.8 |
| F | Dunmanway | wind | Lahanaght Hill (1) | DSO | connected | 4.25 |
| F | Dunmanway | wind | Milane Hill (1) | DSO | connected | 5.94 |
| F | Dunmanway | wind | Reenascreena (1) | DSO | connected | 4.5 |
| D | Ennis | solar | Spencil Hill Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| J | Finglas | solar | Bullstown Solar Extension | DSO | offer | 3.96 |
| J | Finglas | solar | Bullstown Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 3.96 |
| G | Finglas | solar | Darthogue Solar | DSO | ECP | 12 |
| J | Finglas | thermal | Huntstown Renewable Bioenergy Plant | DSO | offer | 4 |
| J | Gallanstown Muckerstown into Corduff Platin | solar | Gallanstown Solar | TSO | offer | 85 |
| J | Gallanstown Muckerstown into Corduff Platin | solar | Muckerstown Solar Park | TSO | offer | 34 |
| E | Garrow | wind | Caherdowney (1) | DSO | connected | 10 |
| E | Garrow | wind | Clydaghroe (1) | DSO | connected | 4.99 |
| E | Garrow | wind | Coomacheo (1) | TSO | connected | 41.225 |
| E | Garrow | wind | Coomacheo (2) | TSO | connected | 18 |
| A | Garvagh | wind | Derrysallagh Wind Farm (Formerly Kilronan 2) | DSO | offer | 34 |
| A | Garvagh | wind | Garvagh - Glebe (1a) | TSO | connected | 26 |
| A | Garvagh | wind | Garvagh - Tullynahaw (1c) | TSO | connected | 22 |
| G | Gastkinstown into Gorman Platin | solar | Gaskinstown Solar Farm | TSO | ECP | 85 |
| G | Gillinstown into Gorman Platin | solar | Gillinstown Solar | TSO | ECP | 95 |
| E | Glanlee | wind | Glanlee (1) | TSO | connected | 29.8 |
| J | Glasmore | solar | Featherbed Lane Solar | DSO | offer | 4 |
| E | Glenlara | solar | Dromalour | DSO | offer | 4.95 |
| E | Glenlara | wind | Taurbeg (1) | DSO | connected | 26 |
| E | Glenlara_wind | wind | Dromdeeven (1) | DSO | connected | 10.5 |

| Area | Node | Type | Name | SO | Status | MEC |
|------|--------------------------------|---------|---|-----|-----------|-------|
| E | Glenlara_wind | wind | Dromdeeveen (2) | DSO | connected | 16.5 |
| E | Glenlara_wind | wind | Mauricetown (Glenduff) Wind Farm | | offer | 13.8 |
| B | Glenree | wind | Black Lough (1) | DSO | ECP | 12.5 |
| B | Glenree | wind | Bunnyconnellan (1) | DSO | offer | 28 |
| B | Glenree | wind | Carrowleagh (1) | DSO | connected | 34.15 |
| B | Glenree | wind | Carrowleagh (2) | DSO | offer | 2.65 |
| B | Glenree | wind | Carrowleagh-Kilbride | DSO | ECP | 48.3 |
| A | Golagh | wind | Golagh (1) | TSO | connected | 15 |
| G | Gorman | battery | Gorman (Graigs) Energy Storage Station | TSO | ECP | 30 |
| G | Gorman | battery | Gorman Energy Storage Station - Extension | TSO | ECP | 20 |
| G | Gorman | wind | Castletownmoor | TSO | offer | 120 |
| A | Gortawee | wind | Coreen (1) | DSO | connected | 3 |
| J | Grange Castle | solar | Furryhill Solar | DSO | ECP | 16 |
| H2 | Great Island | battery | Kilmannock Battery Storage Facility | TSO | ECP | 30 |
| H2 | Great Island | solar | Ballycullane Solar Park | DSO | offer | 4.99 |
| H2 | Great Island | solar | Ballygowny Solar Farm | DSO | ECP | 12 |
| H2 | Great Island | Thermal | Great Island CCGT in ECP-1 | TSO | ECP | 33 |
| J | Griffinrath | solar | Confey Solar Park | DSO | ECP | 9.5 |
| J | Griffinrath | solar | Dollanstown Stud Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| J | Griffinrath | solar | Taghadoe Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 25 |
| J | Griffinrath | solar | Tower Hill Solar Farm | DSO | ECP | 6 |
| G | Hawkinstown into Baltrasna-Dry | solar | Hawkinstown Solar Park re-submission | TSO | offer | 50 |
| H1 | Ikerrin | solar | Doonane Solar | DSO | offer | 29.9 |
| H1 | Ikerrin | wind | Monaincha Bog (1) | DSO | connected | 35.95 |
| I | Kilbarry | solar | Coolyduff | DSO | offer | 4.95 |
| I | Kilbarry | solar | Drumgarriff South | DSO | offer | 4.95 |
| H2 | Kilkenny | battery | Nore Power G&S | TSO | ECP | 40 |
| H2 | Kilkenny | solar | Ballytobin Solar PV | DSO | offer | 4 |
| H2 | Kilkenny | solar | Castlekelly Solar PV Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| H2 | Kilkenny | solar | Clashmagrath PV | DSO | ECP | 3.99 |
| H2 | Kilkenny | solar | Derrynahinch Solar Farm | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| H2 | Kilkenny | solar | Keatingstown Solar Farm | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| H2 | Kilkenny | solar | Kiltorcan Solar Farm | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| H1 | Kill Hill | wind | Kill Hill (1) - phase 1 | TSO | connected | 36 |
| H1 | Killonan | wind | Bunkimalta (1) | DSO | offer | 46.5 |
| H1 | Killonan | wind | Cureeny (1) | DSO | offer | 94 |
| E | Kilpaddoge | battery | Glencloosagh Phase 3 | TSO | ECP | 30 |
| E | Kilpaddoge | wind | Kelwin Power Plant | TSO | connected | 41.6 |
| E | Kilpaddoge | wind | Leanamore (1) (formerly Tarbert (1)) | DSO | connected | 18 |
| J | Kilteel | battery | Porterstown Battery Storage Facility | TSO | ECP | 30 |
| J | Kilteel | solar | Threecastles Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 15 |

| Area | Node | Type | Name | SO | Status | MEC |
|------|--------------|---------|--|-----|-----------|-------|
| J | Kinnegad | solar | Harristown Solar PV | TSO | offer | 42.3 |
| E | Knockacummer | wind | Knockacummer (1) | TSO | connected | 100 |
| E | Knockearagh | solar | Ballynamaunagh Solar Park | DSO | ECP | 4.99 |
| E | Knockearagh | solar | Madam's Hill Solar Park | DSO | offer | 4 |
| E | Knockearagh | wind | Gneeves (1) | DSO | connected | 9.35 |
| E | Knockearagh | wind | WEDcross (1) | DSO | connected | 4.5 |
| B | Knockranny | wind | Ardderoo 2 (Formerly Buffy) | TSO | offer | 64.2 |
| B | Knockranny | wind | Ardderoo Wind Farm | TSO | offer | 27 |
| B | Knockranny | wind | Knockalough (1) | TSO | offer | 33.6 |
| B | Knockranny | wind | Rossaveel Wind | DSO | offer | 3 |
| C | Lanesboro | solar | Creevy Solar | DSO | offer | 4 |
| C | Lanesboro | solar | Mountdillon Solar | TSO | ECP | 90 |
| C | Lanesboro | wind | Roxborough | DSO | ECP | 4.95 |
| C | Lanesboro | wind | Skrine (1) | DSO | connected | 4.6 |
| A | Letterkenny | wind | Cark (1) | DSO | connected | 15 |
| A | Letterkenny | wind | Carrick Wind Farm (Garrymore) | DSO | offer | 4.3 |
| A | Letterkenny | wind | Cronalaght (1) | DSO | connected | 4.98 |
| A | Letterkenny | wind | Culliagh (1) | DSO | connected | 11.88 |
| A | Letterkenny | wind | Garrymore WF | | offer | 4.4 |
| A | Letterkenny | wind | Glenalla (Garrymore) | DSO | offer | 2.1 |
| A | Letterkenny | wind | Lettergull (1) | DSO | offer | 20 |
| A | Letterkenny | wind | Lurganboy (1) | DSO | connected | 4.99 |
| A | Letterkenny | wind | Meenanilta (1) | DSO | connected | 2.55 |
| A | Letterkenny | wind | Meenanilta (2) | DSO | connected | 2.45 |
| A | Letterkenny | wind | Meenanilta (3) | DSO | connected | 3.4 |
| A | Letterkenny | wind | Newtownfore (1) | DSO | offer | 14.4 |
| E | Limerick | solar | Kilcolman Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| G | Lisdrum | battery | Lisdrumdoagh Energy Storage Facility | TSO | ECP | 60 |
| G | Lisdrum | wind | Coolberrin Wind Farm (formerly Bragan Wind Farm) | DSO | offer | 33.1 |
| H1 | Lisheen | wind | Bruckana (1) | DSO | connected | 39.6 |
| H1 | Lisheen | wind | Lisheen (1) | TSO | connected | 36 |
| H1 | Lisheen | wind | Lisheen (1a) | TSO | connected | 23 |
| H1 | Lisheen | wind | Lisheen 3 | DSO | ECP | 28.8 |
| C | Lumcloon | battery | Lumcloon ESS (Derrycarney) | TSO | offer | 100 |
| F | Macroom | solar | Knockglass Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| F | Macroom | thermal | Cork Green Energy Biomass CHP Plant | DSO | offer | 1.2 |
| F | Macroom | wind | Bawnmore (1) formerly Burren (Cork) | DSO | connected | 24 |
| E | Mallow | solar | Carrigoon Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| E | Mallow | solar | Crossfield | DSO | offer | 4.95 |
| E | Mallow | solar | Kilcummer Upper Solar Farm | DSO | ECP | 10 |
| G | Meath Hill | battery | Ardagh South Energy Storage Facility | DSO | ECP | 33 |
| G | Meath Hill | thermal | College Proteins CHP | DSO | ECP | 3.99 |
| G | Meath Hill | wind | Gartnaneane (1) | DSO | connected | 10.5 |

| Area | Node | Type | Name | SO | Status | MEC |
|------|---------------------------------|---------|--|-----|-----------|-------|
| G | Meath Hill | wind | Gartnaneane (2) | DSO | connected | 4.5 |
| G | Meath Hill | wind | Mullananalt (1) | DSO | connected | 7.5 |
| G | Meath Hill | wind | Raragh (2) | DSO | offer | 11.5 |
| G | Meath Hill | wind | Taghart (1) | DSO | ECP | 23.06 |
| G | Meath Hill | wind | Teevurcher | DSO | connected | 9 |
| G | Meath Hill | wind | Tullynamalra (1) | DSO | offer | 2.638 |
| A | Meentycat | wind | Meentycat (1) | TSO | connected | 70.96 |
| A | Meentycat | wind | Meentycat (2) | TSO | connected | 14 |
| I | Midleton | solar | Monatooreen Solar | TSO | offer | 25.7 |
| I | Midleton | solar | Gortacruie Solar Park | DSO | offer | 3.99 |
| I | Midleton | solar | IQ Solar Farm | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| I | Midleton | solar | Malapardas | DSO | ECP | 4.95 |
| I | Midleton | solar | Tead More Solar (Meelshane) | DSO | ECP | 3.95 |
| I | Midleton | wind | Crocane (1) | DSO | connected | 1.7 |
| E | Moneypoint | battery | Moneypoint Battery Storage | TSO | offer | 7.5 |
| E | Moneypoint | wind | Moneypoint WF | TSO | connected | 17.25 |
| J | Monread | solar | Bodenstown Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| J | Monread | solar | Kerdiffstown PV | DSO | offer | 4 |
| H1 | Mothel into Ballydine Cullenagh | solar | Mothel PV | TSO | offer | 60 |
| J | Mount Lucas | wind | Mount Lucas (1) | TSO | connected | 79.2 |
| B | Moy | solar | Carrowgarve Solar | DSO | offer | 4 |
| B | Moy | wind | Lackan (1) | DSO | connected | 6 |
| C | Mullingar | solar | Liss Solar Farm (prev Lands at Liss) | DSO | offer | 4 |
| C | Mullingar | solar | Marlinstown Solar Farm (prev Russellstown) | DSO | offer | 4 |
| C | Mullingar | solar | Tullynally Estate | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| A | Mulreavy | wind | Mulreavy (Mulreavy (1)) | TSO | connected | 82 |
| A | Mulreavy | wind | Mulreavy Ext (Croaghnameal (1)) | TSO | connected | 4.25 |
| A | Mulreavy | wind | Mulreavy Ext (Meenadreen South (1)) | TSO | connected | 3.6 |
| A | Mulreavy | wind | Mulreavy Ext (Meenadreen South (2)) | TSO | connected | 5.4 |
| G | Navan | solar | Friarspark Solar | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| G | Navan | solar | Kilkeelan Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| G | Navan | thermal | Shamrock Renewable Fuels formerly Farelly Brothers | DSO | offer | 13 |
| G | Navan | solar | Martinstown Solar formerly Crowinstown Great wind | DSO | offer | 4.999 |
| H1 | Nenagh | solar | Lisbrien Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| H1 | Nenagh | wind | Ballinlough (1) | DSO | connected | 2.55 |
| H1 | Nenagh | wind | Ballinveny (1) | DSO | connected | 2.55 |
| H1 | Nenagh | wind | Castlewaller (1) | TSO | ECP | 48 |
| H1 | Nenagh | wind | Curraghgraique (1) | DSO | connected | 2.55 |
| H1 | Nenagh | wind | Curraghgraique (2) | DSO | connected | 2.44 |
| H1 | Nenagh | wind | Templederry (1) | DSO | connected | 3.9 |
| J | Newbridge | solar | Dunmurry Springs PV | DSO | offer | 12 |

| Area | Node | Type | Name | SO | Status | MEC |
|------|---|---------|---|-----|-----------|--------|
| J | Newbridge | solar | Pollardstown PV | DSO | offer | 3.99 |
| G | Oriel | wind | Oriel (1) | TSO | offer | 210 |
| E | Oughtragh | solar | Maine Solar | DSO | offer | 4 |
| E | Oughtragh | wind | Knockaneden (1) | DSO | connected | 9 |
| J | Portlaoise | solar | Acragar Solar Farm | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| J | Portlaoise | solar | Shanderry Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| J | Portlaoise | wind | Dooray WF | DSO | offer | 45.001 |
| J | Portlaoise | wind | Lisdowney (1) | DSO | connected | 9.2 |
| E | Rathkeale | solar | Dungeeha Solar | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| E | Rathkeale | wind | Carrons (1) | DSO | connected | 4.99 |
| E | Rathkeale | wind | Grouse Lodge (1) | DSO | connected | 15 |
| E | Rathkeale | wind | Rathcahill (1) | DSO | connected | 12.5 |
| K | Rathnaskilloge into Cullenagh Dungarvan | solar | Rathnaskilloge | TSO | ECP | 95 |
| G | Ratrussan | wind | Mountain Lodge (1) | TSO | connected | 24.8 |
| G | Ratrussan | wind | Mountain Lodge (3) | TSO | connected | 5.82 |
| G | Ratrussan | wind | Ratrussan (1a) | TSO | connected | 48 |
| E | Reamore | battery | Knocknagoum Battery Storage | DSO | ECP | 8 |
| E | Reamore | wind | Knocknagoum (1) | DSO | connected | 42.55 |
| E | Reamore | wind | Knocknagoum (2) formerly Muingnatee (3) | DSO | connected | 1.8 |
| E | Reamore | wind | Muingnaminnane (1) | DSO | connected | 15.3 |
| E | Reamore | wind | Muingnaminnane (2) | DSO | offer | 13.5 |
| E | Reamore | wind | Stack's Mountain | DSO | offer | 25.3 |
| C | Richmond | solar | Cleggil Solar | DSO | ECP | 8 |
| C | Richmond | solar | Lisnageeragh Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| C | Richmond | thermal | Camlin CHP | DSO | ECP | 5 |
| H2 | Rosspile into GI-Wex | solar | Rosspile Solar Farm | TSO | offer | 95 |
| B | Salthill | wind | Inverin (Knock South) (1) | DSO | connected | 2.64 |
| B | Salthill | wind | Leitir Guingaid & Doire Chrith1 & 2 Merge | DSO | connected | 40.9 |
| G | Shankill | solar | Carrickabane Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| G | Shankill | wind | Carrickallen Wind Farm | DSO | offer | 22 |
| G | Shankill | wind | Liffey Autoproduction Project | DSO | connected | 1.6 |
| G | Shankill | wind | Liffey Autoproduction Project (extension) | DSO | connected | 1.417 |
| G | Shankill | wind | Mountain Lodge (2) | DSO | connected | 3 |
| C | Shannonbridge | solar | Blackwater Bog Solar 1 | TSO | ECP | 65 |
| C | Shannonbridge 220 | battery | Shannonbridge B ESS | TSO | offer | 97.2 |
| C | Shannonbridge 220 | battery | Shannonbridge ESS | TSO | offer | 66 |
| B | Shantallow into Cashla Somerset T | solar | Shantallow Solar | TSO | offer | 35 |
| C | Sliabh Bawn | wind | Sliabh Bawn (1) | TSO | connected | 58 |
| D | Slievecallan | wind | Boolinrudda | TSO | connected | 17.64 |

| Area | Node | Type | Name | SO | Status | MEC |
|------|---------------------------------------|---------|--|-----|-----------|--------|
| | | | (formerly Boolynagleragh & Glenmore) | | | |
| D | Slievecallan | wind | Boolinrudda (formerly Loughaun North) | TSO | connected | 26.87 |
| D | Slievecallan | wind | Knockalassa (formerly Keelderry) | TSO | connected | 26.875 |
| B | Sligo | wind | Carrickeaney (1) | DSO | connected | 7.65 |
| B | Sligo | wind | Faughary (1) | DSO | connected | 6 |
| C | Somerset | solar | Ballycrissane Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| C | Somerset | wind | Lisbeg Windfarm (formerly Sonnagh Old 2 & 3) | DSO | offer | 11.89 |
| C | Somerset | wind | Sonnagh Old (1) | DSO | connected | 7.65 |
| A | Sorne Hill | wind | Corvin Wind Turbine | DSO | offer | 2.1 |
| A | Sorne Hill | wind | Fahan Wind Farm | DSO | ECP | 5 |
| A | Sorne Hill | wind | Flughland (1) | DSO | connected | 9.2 |
| A | Sorne Hill | wind | Glackmore Hill (2) | DSO | connected | 1.4 |
| A | Sorne Hill | wind | Meenkeeragh (1) | DSO | connected | 4.2 |
| A | Sorne Hill | wind | Sorne Hill (1) | DSO | connected | 31.5 |
| A | Sorne Hill | wind | Sorne Hill (2) | DSO | connected | 7.4 |
| A | Sorne Hill | wind | Sorne Hill Single Turbine (Enros) | DSO | offer | 2.3 |
| A | Sorne Hill | wind | Three Trees (1) | DSO | offer | 4.25 |
| J | Stephenstown | battery | Gardnershill FGS | DSO | ECP | 9 |
| J | Stephenstown | solar | Matt Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4.95 |
| H2 | Stratford | solar | Newtownsaunders | DSO | offer | 4 |
| B | Tawnaghmore | thermal | Mayo Renewable Power Biomass CHP | DSO | offer | 49 |
| B | Tawnaghmore | wind | Killala (1) | DSO | offer | 30 |
| J | Thornsberry | solar | Lehinch Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| J | Thornsberry | solar | Muinagh Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| J | Thornsberry | thermal | Derryclure (1) | DSO | offer | 9.9 |
| H1 | Thurles | wind | An Cnoc | DSO | connected | 11.5 |
| H1 | Thurles | wind | Ballinacurry WF | DSO | offer | 4.6 |
| H1 | Thurles | wind | Ballybay Wind Farm (Tullaroan) | DSO | connected | 13.8 |
| H1 | Thurles | wind | Foyle Windfarm | DSO | connected | 9.6 |
| H1 | Thurles | wind | Gurteen (1) | DSO | connected | 2.3 |
| A | Tievebrack | wind | Leanalea Wind Farm | TSO | ECP | 30.5 |
| J | Timahoe North into Maynooth Derryiron | solar | Timahoe North | TSO | offer | 70 |
| H1 | Tipperary | solar | Ballinalard Solar Farm | DSO | offer | 4 |
| H1 | Tipperary | wind | Slievereagh (1) | DSO | connected | 4.6 |
| B | Tonroe | thermal | Biocore Enviromental AD | DSO | offer | 1.5 |
| B | Tonroe | wind | Grady Joinery | DSO | connected | 2.5 |
| B | Tonroe | wind | Largan Hill (1) | DSO | connected | 5.94 |
| B | Tonroe | wind | Roosky (1) | DSO | connected | 3.6 |
| E | Toorard into Charleville Glenlara | solar | Toorard Solar Farm | TSO | ECP | 50 |
| I | Trabeg | solar | Piercestown (formerly Jackeens) SPV | DSO | ECP | 4 |

| Area | Node | Type | Name | SO | Status | MEC |
|------|------------------------------------|-------|---|-----|-----------|-------|
| I | Trabeg | solar | Shanagraigue | DSO | offer | 4.95 |
| E | Tralee | solar | Bawnboy Solar Park | DSO | offer | 4 |
| E | Tralee | solar | Drummartin Solar Farm | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| E | Tralee | wind | Ballincollig Hill (1) | DSO | connected | 15 |
| E | Tralee | wind | Beenageeha (1) | DSO | connected | 3.96 |
| E | Tralee | wind | Mount Eagle (1) | DSO | connected | 5.1 |
| E | Tralee | wind | Mount Eagle (2) | DSO | connected | 1.7 |
| E | Tralee | wind | Tursillagh (1) | DSO | connected | 15 |
| E | Tralee | wind | Tursillagh (2) | DSO | connected | 6.8 |
| J | Treascon into Bracklone Portlaoise | solar | Treascon Solar | TSO | offer | 40 |
| E | Trien | solar | Shanacool (Trienearagh) Solar Park | DSO | offer | 4 |
| E | Trien | wind | Ballagh (1) | DSO | connected | 4.6 |
| E | Trien | wind | Beale Hill (1) | DSO | connected | 1.65 |
| E | Trien | wind | Beale Hill (2) | DSO | connected | 2.55 |
| E | Trien | wind | Beale Hill (3) | DSO | connected | 1.3 |
| E | Trien | wind | Curraghderrig (1) | DSO | connected | 4.5 |
| E | Trien | wind | Gortnacloghy Wiind Farm | DSO | connected | 4.4 |
| E | Trien | wind | Tournafulla (1) | DSO | connected | 7.5 |
| E | Trien_wind | wind | Knockawarriga (1) | DSO | connected | 22.5 |
| E | Trien_wind | wind | Knockawarriga Extension (Glenduff & Caherlevoy) | DSO | offer | 6.6 |
| E | Trien_wind | wind | Tournafulla (2) | DSO | connected | 17.2 |
| A | Trillick | wind | Beam Hill (1) | DSO | connected | 14 |
| A | Trillick | wind | Cooly (1) | DSO | connected | 4 |
| A | Trillick | wind | Crockahenny (1) | DSO | connected | 5 |
| A | Trillick | wind | Drumlough Hill (1) | DSO | connected | 4.8 |
| A | Trillick | wind | Drumlough Hill (2) | DSO | connected | 9.99 |
| A | Trillick | wind | Meenaward | DSO | connected | 6.9 |
| H2 | Tullabeg into Banoge Crane | solar | Tullabeg Solar Park | TSO | offer | 50 |
| D | Tullabrack | wave | WestWave Killard | DSO | offer | 5.4 |
| D | Tullabrack | wind | Carrownawelaun (1) | DSO | connected | 4.6 |
| D | Tullabrack | wind | Moanmore (1) | DSO | connected | 12.6 |
| D | Tullabrack | wind | Tullabrack (1) | DSO | connected | 13.8 |
| B | Uggool | wind | Cloosh Extension Wind Farm | TSO | ECP | 28.8 |
| B | Uggool | wind | Seecon (1) | TSO | connected | 105 |
| B | Uggool | wind | Uggool (1) | TSO | connected | 64 |
| H2 | Waterford | solar | Curraghmartin Solar Park | DSO | offer | 3.99 |
| H2 | Waterford | wind | Ballymartin (1) | DSO | connected | 6 |
| H2 | Waterford | wind | Ballymartin (2) | DSO | connected | 8.28 |
| H2 | Waterford | wind | Rahora (1) | DSO | connected | 4.25 |
| H2 | Wexford | solar | Ballycarran Solar Park | DSO | ECP | 3.999 |
| H2 | Wexford | solar | Ballykereen Solar | DSO | ECP | 11 |
| H2 | Wexford | solar | Ballymackesy East Solar Farm | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| H2 | Wexford | solar | Blusheens 2 Solar Park | DSO | offer | 3.99 |
| H2 | Wexford | solar | Blusheens Solar Park | DSO | offer | 3.99 |

| Area | Node | Type | Name | SO | Status | MEC |
|------|-----------|-------|---------------------------------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| H2 | Wexford | solar | Davidstown Solar | DSO | ECP | 5 |
| H2 | Wexford | solar | Dennistown Solar | DSO | ECP | 26 |
| H2 | Wexford | solar | Mackmine Solar | DSO | ECP | 10 |
| H2 | Wexford | solar | St Johns Solar Farm | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| H2 | Wexford | solar | Sweetfarm Solar Farm | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| H2 | Wexford | solar | Tomfarney North Solar Farm | DSO | ECP | 8 |
| H2 | Wexford | solar | Tomnalossett Solar Farm (Assaly) | DSO | ECP | 4 |
| H2 | Wexford | wind | Carnsore (1) | DSO | connected | 11.9 |
| H2 | Wexford | wind | Richfield (1) | DSO | connected | 20.25 |
| H2 | Wexford | wind | Richfield (2) | DSO | connected | 6.75 |
| K | Woodhouse | wind | Knocknamona Wind Farm (Prev. Crohaun) | TSO | offer | 34 |
| K | Woodhouse | wind | Woodhouse (1) | TSO | connected | 20 |

Table B-15: Generation in the model

Note that the year of connection is rounded from the build-out rate date or target connection date.

These are in addition to the large generators which are listed in EirGrid's Generation Capacity Statement 2018.

[this page deliberately left blank]

Appendix C Area F Node Results

This appendix presents the results of the modelling analysis for Area F. The levels of curtailment and constraint that controllable solar and wind generators in Area F might expect to experience are reported on a nodal basis for the study scenarios. Details on the generation capacity at each node are provided along with the assumed amount of controllable wind generation.

This appendix also presents a list for each node of those generators that are included in the study.

The table of results for each node provides information for each scenario of the curtailment, the constraint and the combined curtailment and constraint. Where there is both solar and wind at a node, the solar and wind results are provided separately. The curtailment and constraint results are also provided as a graph. These graphs show how the combined curtailment and constraint increase as additional generation is added and show how it decreases in later years.




Figure 0-1 Area F

| Area | Node | SO | Status | Solar | Wind |
|----------|-------------|-----|----------------|-------|------|
| f | Ballylickey | DSO | Initial | | 54 |
| f | Ballylickey | DSO | Initial | | 6 |
| f | Bandon | DSO | ECP-1 Offer | 20 | |
| f | Bandon | DSO | Initial | | 9 |
| f | Bandon | DSO | Initial | | 5 |
| f | Dunmanway | DSO | ECP-1 Offer | | 24 |
| f | Dunmanway | DSO | Existing Offer | | 6 |
| f | Dunmanway | DSO | Existing Offer | | 5 |
| f | Dunmanway | DSO | Initial | | 37 |
| f | Dunmanway | DSO | Initial | | 13 |
| f | Dunmanway | TSO | ECP-1 Offer | | 8 |
| f | Dunmanway | TSO | Existing Offer | | 60 |
| f | Macroon | DSO | Existing Offer | 4 | |
| f | Macroon | DSO | Initial | | 24 |
| Subtotal | | | | 24 | 250 |

Table 0-1 Generation Summary in Area F

C.1 Ballylickey

The location of this node is shown in the figure.



Figure 0-2 Location of Ballylickey

The generators, which are modelled at this node, are listed as follows.

| Generator | SO | Type | Status | Capacity |
|---|-----|------|---------|----------|
| Ballybane (2a) | DSO | wind | Initial | 12 MW |
| Ballybane (Glanda Commons) Wind Farm | DSO | wind | Initial | 20 MW |
| Ballybane 2 (Glanda Commons) Wind Farm | DSO | wind | Initial | 8 MW |
| Ballybane 2A (Glanda Commons) Wind Farm Extension | DSO | wind | Initial | 2 MW |
| Ballybane 3 (Glanda Commons) Wind Farm | DSO | wind | Initial | 4 MW |
| Derreenacrinnig West (prev Kilvinane 2 WF) | DSO | wind | Initial | 6 MW |
| Kealkil (Curraglass) (1) | DSO | wind | Initial | 9 MW |

Table 0-2 Generation Included in Study for Ballylickey

| BALLYLICKEY WIND | Year | Wind Generation Scenarios | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------|------|------|------|
| | | Initial | South | 33% | 66% | All |
| Existing (MW) | | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 |
| Additional (MW) | | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Total (MW) | | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| of which is Controllable (MW) | | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 |
| Available Energy Controllable (GWh) | | 174 | 174 | 174 | 174 | 174 |
| Curtailment (GWh) | 2020 | 4.4 | 9.4 | 9.6 | 16.8 | 24.9 |
| | 2021 | 3.2 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 14.2 | 21.8 |
| | 2022 | 1.9 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 10.5 | 17.3 |
| Constraint (GWh) | 2020 | 1.0 | 7.5 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 3.9 |
| | 2021 | 1.3 | 6.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.8 |
| | 2022 | 0.5 | 5.1 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 2.8 |
| Curtailment and Constraint (GWh) | 2020 | 5.4 | 16.9 | 11.6 | 20.0 | 28.8 |
| | 2021 | 4.5 | 13.2 | 9.0 | 16.2 | 24.5 |
| | 2022 | 2.4 | 10.0 | 6.1 | 12.3 | 20.1 |
| Curtailment | 2020 | 3% | 5% | 6% | 10% | 14% |
| | 2021 | 2% | 4% | 4% | 8% | 13% |
| | 2022 | 1% | 3% | 3% | 6% | 10% |
| Constraint | 2020 | 1% | 4% | 1% | 2% | 2% |
| | 2021 | 1% | 3% | 1% | 1% | 2% |
| | 2022 | < 1% | 3% | 1% | 1% | 2% |
| Curtailment and Constraint | 2020 | 3% | 10% | 7% | 11% | 16% |
| | 2021 | 3% | 8% | 5% | 9% | 14% |
| | 2022 | 1% | 6% | 3% | 7% | 12% |
| | Future Grid | | | | | 2% |

Table 0-3 Results for Ballylickey Wind




Figure 0-3 Curtailment and Constraint for Ballylickey

[This page is deliberately left blank.]

C.2 Bandon

The location of this node is shown in the figure.



Figure 0-4 Location of Bandon

The generators, which are modelled at this node, are listed as follows.

| Generator | SO | Type | Status | Capacity |
|-------------------------------|-----|----------------|----------------|----------|
| Callatrim South Solar PV Farm | DSO | solar | ECP-1 Offer | 6 MW |
| Currabea | DSO | solar | ECP-1 Offer | 5 MW |
| Enniskeane PV | DSO | solar | ECP-1 Offer | 4 MW |
| Garranereagh (1) | DSO | wind | Initial | 9 MW |
| Garryndruig | DSO | solar | ECP-1 Offer | 5 MW |
| GP Wood CHP | DSO | thermal future | Initial | 16 MW |
| Graingers Sawmills CHP (1) | DSO | thermal future | Initial | 3 MW |
| Kilvinane (1) | DSO | wind | Initial | 5 MW |
| Timoleague Agri Gen | DSO | thermal future | Existing Offer | 1 MW |

Table 0-4 Generation Included in Study for Bandon

| BANDON SOLAR | Year | Solar Generation Scenarios | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-------|------|------|------|
| | | Initial | South | 33% | 66% | All |
| Existing (MW) | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Additional (MW) | | 0 | 20 | 7 | 13 | 20 |
| Total (MW) | | 0 | 20 | 7 | 13 | 20 |
| of which is Controllable (MW) | | 0 | 20 | 7 | 13 | 20 |
| Available Energy Controllable (GWh) | | 0 | 21 | 7 | 14 | 21 |
| Curtailment (GWh) | 2020 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 2.0 |
| | 2021 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.8 |
| | 2022 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.4 |
| Constraint (GWh) | 2020 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| | 2021 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| | 2022 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Curtailment and Constraint (GWh) | 2020 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 2.1 |
| | 2021 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 1.9 |
| | 2022 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.5 |
| Curtailment | 2020 | | 2% | 2% | 5% | 9% |
| | 2021 | | 2% | 2% | 4% | 8% |
| | 2022 | | 1% | 1% | 3% | 7% |
| Constraint | 2020 | | < 1% | < 1% | < 1% | < 1% |
| | 2021 | | < 1% | < 1% | < 1% | < 1% |
| | 2022 | | < 1% | < 1% | < 1% | < 1% |
| Curtailment and Constraint | 2020 | | 3% | 2% | 6% | 10% |
| | 2021 | | 2% | 2% | 5% | 9% |
| | 2022 | | 1% | 1% | 3% | 7% |
| | Future Grid | | | | | 2% |

Table 0-5 Results for Bandon Solar




Figure 0-5 Curtailment and Constraint for Bandon Solar

| BANDON WIND | Year | Wind Generation Scenarios | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------|-----|-----|-----|
| | | Initial | South | 33% | 66% | All |
| Existing (MW) | | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Additional (MW) | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (MW) | | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| of which is Controllable (MW) | | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Available Energy Controllable (GWh) | | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| Curtailment (GWh) | 2020 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 3.7 |
| | 2021 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 3.2 |
| | 2022 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 2.5 |
| Constraint (GWh) | 2020 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| | 2021 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| | 2022 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Curtailment and Constraint (GWh) | 2020 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 4.0 |
| | 2021 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 3.5 |
| | 2022 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 2.8 |
| Curtailment | 2020 | 3% | 6% | 6% | 10% | 15% |
| | 2021 | 2% | 4% | 4% | 8% | 13% |
| | 2022 | 1% | 3% | 3% | 6% | 10% |
| Constraint | 2020 | 1% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 1% |
| | 2021 | 1% | 2% | 1% | 1% | 1% |
| | 2022 | < 1% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 1% |
| Curtailment and Constraint | 2020 | 3% | 7% | 7% | 11% | 16% |
| | 2021 | 3% | 6% | 5% | 10% | 14% |
| | 2022 | 1% | 4% | 3% | 7% | 11% |
| | Future Grid | | | | | 2% |

Table 0-6 Results for Bandon Wind




Figure 0-6 Curtailment and Constraint for Bandon Wind

C.3 Dunmanway

The location of this node is shown in the figure.



Figure 0-7 Location of Dunmanway

The generators, which are modelled at this node, are listed as follows.

| Generator | SO | Type | Status | Capacity |
|-------------------------------------|-----|----------------|----------------|----------|
| Carbery Milk Products CHP (1) | DSO | thermal future | Initial | 6 MW |
| Carrigdangan (formerly Barnadivane) | TSO | wind | Existing Offer | 60 MW |
| Carrigdangan Wind Farm Ext. | TSO | wind | ECP-1 Offer | 8 MW |
| Coomatallin (1) | DSO | wind | Initial | 6 MW |
| Coomatallin (2) | DSO | wind | Existing Offer | 3 MW |
| Coomleagh (1) | DSO | wind | Existing Offer | 6 MW |
| Coomleagh Wind Farm (extension) | DSO | wind | Existing Offer | 2 MW |
| Currabwee (1) | DSO | wind | Initial | 5 MW |
| Derryvacorneen (1) | DSO | wind | Initial | 17 MW |
| Dromleena (1) | DSO | wind | ECP-1 Offer | 10 MW |
| Killaveenoge (formerly Barrboy (1)) | DSO | wind | Initial | 8 MW |
| Knockeenbui Wind Farm | DSO | wind | ECP-1 Offer | 14 MW |
| Lahanaght Hill (1) | DSO | wind | Initial | 4 MW |
| Milane Hill (1) | DSO | wind | Initial | 6 MW |
| Reenascreena (1) | DSO | wind | Initial | 5 MW |

Table 0-7 Generation Included in Study for Dunmanway

| DUNMANWAY WIND | Year | Wind Generation Scenarios | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------|------|------|------|
| | | Initial | South | 33% | 66% | All |
| Existing (MW) | | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Additional (MW) | | 0 | 103 | 34 | 68 | 103 |
| Total (MW) | | 50 | 153 | 84 | 118 | 153 |
| of which is Controllable (MW) | | 31 | 128 | 63 | 95 | 128 |
| Available Energy Controllable (GWh) | | 100 | 409 | 202 | 304 | 409 |
| Curtailment (GWh) | 2020 | 2.5 | 22.0 | 11.2 | 29.4 | 58.5 |
| | 2021 | 1.8 | 17.1 | 8.7 | 24.8 | 51.1 |
| | 2022 | 1.1 | 11.6 | 5.9 | 18.2 | 40.5 |
| Constraint (GWh) | 2020 | 0.6 | 17.6 | 2.2 | 5.5 | 9.2 |
| | 2021 | 0.8 | 14.0 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 6.5 |
| | 2022 | 0.3 | 11.9 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 6.6 |
| Curtailment and Constraint (GWh) | 2020 | 3.1 | 39.6 | 13.4 | 34.9 | 67.7 |
| | 2021 | 2.6 | 31.1 | 10.5 | 28.3 | 57.6 |
| | 2022 | 1.4 | 23.5 | 7.0 | 21.5 | 47.2 |
| Curtailment | 2020 | 3% | 5% | 6% | 10% | 14% |
| | 2021 | 2% | 4% | 4% | 8% | 13% |
| | 2022 | 1% | 3% | 3% | 6% | 10% |
| Constraint | 2020 | 1% | 4% | 1% | 2% | 2% |
| | 2021 | 1% | 3% | 1% | 1% | 2% |
| | 2022 | < 1% | 3% | 1% | 1% | 2% |
| Curtailment and Constraint | 2020 | 3% | 10% | 7% | 11% | 16% |
| | 2021 | 3% | 8% | 5% | 9% | 14% |
| | 2022 | 1% | 6% | 3% | 7% | 12% |
| | Future Grid | | | | | 2% |

Table 0-8 Results for Dunmanway Wind




Figure 0-8 Curtailment and Constraint for Dunmanway

[This page is deliberately left blank.]

C.4 Macroom

The location of this node is shown in the figure.



Figure 0-9 Location of Macroom

The generators, which are modelled at this node, are listed as follows.

| Generator | SO | Type | Status | Capacity |
|-------------------------------------|-----|----------------|----------------|----------|
| Bawnmore (1) formerly Burren (Cork) | DSO | wind | Initial | 24 MW |
| Cork Green Energy Biomass CHP Plant | DSO | thermal future | Existing Offer | 1 MW |
| Knockglass Solar Farm | DSO | solar | Existing Offer | 4 MW |

Table 0-9 Generation Included in Study for Macroom

| MACROOM SOLAR | Year | Solar Generation Scenarios | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-------|------|------|------|
| | | Initial | South | 33% | 66% | All |
| Existing (MW) | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Additional (MW) | | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Total (MW) | | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| of which is Controllable (MW) | | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Available Energy Controllable (GWh) | | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Curtailment (GWh) | 2020 | | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| | 2021 | | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| | 2022 | | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Constraint (GWh) | 2020 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | 2021 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | 2022 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Curtailment and Constraint (GWh) | 2020 | | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| | 2021 | | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| | 2022 | | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Curtailment | 2020 | | 2% | 2% | 5% | 9% |
| | 2021 | | 2% | 2% | 4% | 8% |
| | 2022 | | 1% | 1% | 3% | 7% |
| Constraint | 2020 | | < 1% | < 1% | < 1% | < 1% |
| | 2021 | | < 1% | < 1% | < 1% | < 1% |
| | 2022 | | < 1% | < 1% | < 1% | < 1% |
| Curtailment and Constraint | 2020 | | 3% | 2% | 5% | 10% |
| | 2021 | | 2% | 2% | 5% | 9% |
| | 2022 | | 1% | 1% | 3% | 7% |
| | Future Grid | | | | | 2% |

Table 0-10 Results for Macroom Solar




Figure 0-10 Curtailment and Constraint for Macroom Solar

| MACROOM WIND | Year | Wind Generation Scenarios | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|
| | | Initial | South | 33% | 66% | All |
| Existing (MW) | | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| Additional (MW) | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (MW) | | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| of which is Controllable (MW) | | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| Available Energy Controllable (GWh) | | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 |
| Curtailment (GWh) | 2020 | 1.9 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 6.9 | 10.4 |
| | 2021 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 5.8 | 9.1 |
| | 2022 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 4.3 | 7.2 |
| Constraint (GWh) | 2020 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| | 2021 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| | 2022 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Curtailment and Constraint (GWh) | 2020 | 2.4 | 4.2 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 11.0 |
| | 2021 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 6.4 | 9.5 |
| | 2022 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 7.5 |
| Curtailment | 2020 | 3% | 5% | 6% | 9% | 14% |
| | 2021 | 2% | 4% | 4% | 8% | 12% |
| | 2022 | 1% | 3% | 3% | 6% | 9% |
| Constraint | 2020 | 1% | < 1% | 1% | 1% | 1% |
| | 2021 | 1% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 1% |
| | 2022 | < 1% | 1% | 1% | < 1% | < 1% |
| Curtailment and Constraint | 2020 | 3% | 6% | 7% | 10% | 14% |
| | 2021 | 3% | 5% | 5% | 8% | 13% |
| | 2022 | 1% | 3% | 3% | 6% | 10% |
| | Future Grid | | | | | 2% |

Table 0-11 Results for Macroom Wind




Figure 0-11 Curtailment and Constraint for Macroom Wind

[This page is deliberately left blank.]

References

Enduring Connection Policy

<http://www.eirgridgroup.com/customer-and-industry/becoming-a-customer/generator-connections/enduring-connection-polic/>

Generation Capacity Statement 2018

http://www.eirgridgroup.com/site-files/library/EirGrid/Generation_Capacity_Statement_2018.pdf

Reinforcement Projects

<http://www.eirgridgroup.com/the-grid/projects/>

<http://www.soni.ltd.uk/the-grid/projects/>

All Island Ten Year Transmission Forecast Statement 2017

<http://www.eirgridgroup.com/site-files/library/EirGrid/TYTFS-2017-Final.pdf>

Transmission Development Plan for Northern Ireland 2018-2027

<http://www.soni.ltd.uk/the-grid/projects/tdpni-2018-27/related-documents/>

Tomorrows Energy Scenarios

<http://www.eirgridgroup.com/site-files/library/EirGrid/TES-2017-System-Needs-Assessment-Final.pdf>

Generator Information

<http://www.eirgridgroup.com/how-the-grid-works/renewables/>

<https://www.esbnetworks.ie/new-connections/generator-connections/generator-connection-statistics>

DS3 Programme

<http://www.eirgridgroup.com/how-the-grid-works/ds3-programme/>